(HC)Mitchell v. Adams		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	JOHN EDWARD MITCHELL,	1:10-cv-01342-LJO-MJS (HC)
10	Petitioner,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
11	V.	DEFAULT GRANTING OF WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS
12		[Doc. 17]
13	DERRAL ADAMS, Warden,	
14	Respondent.	
15		
16	Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas	
17	corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. On January 26, 2011, Petitioner filed a motion for	
18	granting of the writ of habeas corpus due to the alleged procedural default of Respondent.	
19	Petitioner asserts that Respondent did not timely file an answer to the Petition.	
20	On November 15, 2010, the Court ordered Respondent to file a response to the	
21	petition within sixty (60) days. Sixty days after November 15, 2010 is January 14, 2011.	
22	However, Respondent is entitled to an additional three days for service by mail. <u>See</u> Fed.	
23	R. Civ. P. 6(d). Additionally, the last day for filing (with the addition of the three days for	
24	service), is January 17, 2011. As January 17, 2011 is a federal holiday, Respondent had	
25	until the next day, January 18, 2011, to file a timely response. See Fed. R. Civ. P.	
26	6(a)(1)(C). Respondent filed an answer on January 18, 2011, and the answer is therefore	
27	timely.	
28	///	

Doc. 20

1	As Respondent timely filed an answer to the petition, Respondent is not in	
2	procedural default and Petitioner's motion is DENIED.	
3		
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
5	Dated: June 14, 2011 <u>Isl Michael J. Seng</u> UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
6	ONITED STATES WAGISTICATE JUDGE	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
<ul><li>20</li><li>21</li></ul>		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		