1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	TEOFILIO MEJIA, et al.,) Case No.: 1:10-cv-01396 JLT
12	Plaintiffs,	ORDER TO PLAINTIFFS TO SHOW CAUSE WHY SANCTIONS, INCLUDING DISMISSAL, SHOULD NOT BE IMPOSED FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE COURT'S ORDERS AND TO PROSECUTE THIS ACTION
13	V.	
14	WEEKS WHOLESALE ROSE GROWER, INC., et al.,	
15		
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	On October 19, 2010, Defendant Weeks Wholesale Rose Grower, Inc., filed a notice of filing	
19	bankruptcy. (Doc. 6) Soon thereafter, on December 3, 2010, the Court issued is acknowledgement of	
20	the bankruptcy filing and ordered, "Plaintiff shall obtain an order for relief from stay of bankruptcy	
21	as to said Defendants, or give notice of its intent to proceed with the balance of this action as to all	
22	other Defendants except as to the bankrupt parties. Plaintiff shall notify the court within 45 days as	
23	to the actions it has taken." (Doc. 7, emphasis added) Despite this, Plaintiffs have <u>never</u> responded to	
24	the Court's order and the matter has languished.	
25	///	
26	///	
27	///	
28	///	

ORDER Within 10 days, Plaintiffs SHALL show cause why sanctions should not be imposed, up to and including an order of dismissal, based upon Plaintiffs' failure to comply with the Court's orders and its failure to prosecute this action. IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Dated: **December 3, 2012**