1 2 3 4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7 8 STEVIE STEVENSON, 1:10-cv-01401-AWI-DLB (PC) 9 Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR 10 v. APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL 11 B. KELLUMS, et al., (DOCUMENT #24) 12 Defendants. 13 On February 3, 2012, plaintiff filed a motion seeking the appointment of counsel pursuant 14 15 to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Plaintiff does not have a constitutional right to appointed counsel in this action, Rand v. Rowland, 113 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), and the Court cannot require 16 17 an attorney to represent Plaintiff pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Mallard v. United States 18 District Court for the Southern District of Iowa, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). However, in certain 19 exceptional circumstances the court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to 20 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Rand, 113 F.3d at 1525. 21 Plaintiff is not proceeding in forma pauperis in this action. The filing fee was paid in full when this action was brought before the Court. Thus, § 1915(e)(1) is inapplicable. Accordingly, 22 23 it is HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion for appointment of counsel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1) is denied. 24 25 IT IS SO ORDERED. **Dated:** March 27, 2012 /s/ Dennis L. Beck
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 26 27 28