1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 ALVARO QUEZADA, Case No.: 1:10-cv-01402-AWI-SAB (PC) 12 ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND Plaintiff, RECOMMENDATIONS. DENYING DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY 13 v. JUDGMENT AS TO LINDSEY AND GONZALEZ AND GRANTING AS TO DEFENDANT PATEL 14 R. LINDSEY, et al., [ECF Nos. 50, 67] 15 Defendants. 16 Plaintiff Alvaro Quezada is appearing pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action 17 18 pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. 19 On February 6, 2015, the Magistrate Judge issued Findings and Recommendations regarding 20 Defendants' motion for summary judgment relating to exhaustion of the administrative remedies. 21 (ECF No. 67.) The Findings and Recommendations were served on the parties and contained notice to the parties that objections were to be filed within thirty days. Plaintiff filed objections on March 2, 22 23 2015, and Defendants filed a response on March 16, 2015. Local Rule 304(b), (d). 24 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the Court has conducted a de

28 | | ///

25

26

27

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The Findings and Recommendations, filed on February 6, 2015, is adopted in full; and
- 2. Defendants' motion for summary judgment is DENIED as to Defendants Lindsey and Gonzalez and GRANTED as to Defendant Patel;
- 3. Defendant Patel is dismissed from the action, without prejudice, for failure to exhaust the administrative remedies; and
- 4. The matter is referred back to the Magistrate Judge for further proceedings.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: March 18, 2015
SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE