

1
2
3
4
5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
6 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
7

8 DANA McMASTER,
9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 D. CARLSON, et al.,
12 Defendants.

Case No. 1:10-cv-01407-AWI-SKO (PC)
ORDER FOLLOWING SETTLEMENT
CONFERENCE

13
14 Plaintiff Dana McMaster is appearing pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights
15 action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On January 23, 2017, the undersigned conducted a
16 settlement conference with the parties in this action. The parties were unable to resolve this
17 action.

18 Due to the approach taken by the plaintiff during the settlement conference, the Court
19 finds that a further settlement conference would not be productive. Therefore, the Court
20 recommends that no further settlement conference should be conducted by the Court in this
21 action, unless the plaintiff requests a settlement conference and the defendants agree that a
22 further settlement conference would be productive.

23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24 Dated: January 23, 2017

25 
26 _____
27 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28