1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9			
10	JOHN MICHAEL BEAMES,	Case No. 1:10-cv-01429-DAD	
11	Petitioner,	DEATH PENALTY CASE	
12	V.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS VACATING	
13	RON DAVIS, Warden of California State Prison at San Quentin,	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS AND DISMISSING ACTION AS HAVING	
14	Respondent.	BEEN RENDERED MOOT	
15	1		
16			
17			
18	Petitioner John Michael Beames, a state prisoner convicted and sentenced to death in		
19	Tulare County Superior Court on October 11, 1995, proceeded through appointed counsel, the		
20	Office of the Federal Defender, on the habeas corpus petition filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254		
21	on July 27, 2011. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28		
22	U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302 for the conducting of a limited evidentiary hearing on		
23	Claim 11 and filing of findings and recommendations thereon.		
24	On October 2, 2017, the magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations		
25	following February 1-3, 2016 evidentiary hearing, recommending that federal habeas relief as to		
26	petitioner's Claim 11 be denied. Those findings and recommendations are under submission.		
27	Now before the court is the motion filed September 2, 2020 by petitioner's counsel		
28	seeking to vacate the October 2, 2017 findings and recommendations, and dismiss the petition		

1 filed in this action as moot, based upon petitioner's death on July 21, 2020.

-	ince in this action as moot, based upon pentioner's dealer of bury 21, 2020.		
2	On September 9, 2020, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations		
3	recommending that petitioner's motion be granted. The findings and recommendations were		
4	served on the parties and contained notice that any objections by respondent were to be filed		
5	within fourteen (14) days thereof. Respondent has not objected or otherwise responded to the		
6	findings and recommendations filed on September 9, 2020, and the time for doing so has passed.		
7	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this		
8	court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the		
9	court finds the findings and recommendation to be supported by the record and proper analysis.		
10	Accordingly,		
11	1.	The findings and recommendations filed on September 9, 2020 (Doc. No. 237)	
12		are adopted in full;	
13	2.	The findings and recommendations filed on October 2, 2017 (Doc. No. 226) are	
14		vacated;	
15	3.	This action is dismissed as having been rendered moot by petitioner's death;	
16	4. A certificate of appealability shall not issue; and		
17	5. The Clerk of Court is directed to close this case.		
18	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
19	Dated:	September 26, 2020 Jale A. Jugd	
20		UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28		2	
		2	