

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STEVEN EDWARDS,  
Plaintiff,

vs.

MAURICE JUNIOUS, et al.,  
Defendant.

Case No. 1:10-cv-01456 OWW JLT (PC)  
ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS  
(Doc. 19)

\_\_\_\_\_ /

Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis with a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On February 25, 2011, the assigned magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations recommending that Plaintiff’s requests for temporary restraining orders be denied. (Doc. 19.) The assigned magistrate judge explained that Plaintiff failed to satisfy any of the legal prerequisites for preliminary injunctive relief. First, Plaintiff failed to demonstrate the likelihood of success on the merits. Second, Plaintiff failed to show that he would suffer irreparable harm in the absence of preliminary relief. And third, Plaintiff failed to show that the balance of equities tips in his favor or that an injunction is in the public interest.

The findings and recommendations were served on Plaintiff and contained notice that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days. The

1 twenty-one day period has since expired, and as of the date of this order, Plaintiff has not filed  
2 objections to the findings and recommendations.

3 In accordance with 28 U.S.C. 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, the Court has conducted a  
4 de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the findings  
5 and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper analysis.

6 Accordingly, it is **HEREBY ORDERED** that:

- 7 1. The findings and recommendations of the magistrate judge filed February 25, 2011,  
8 are adopted in full;
- 9 2. Plaintiff's October 18, 2010 motion for a temporary restraining order (Doc. 13) is  
10 **DENIED**; and
- 11 3. Plaintiff's January 5, 2011 motion for a temporary restraining order (Doc. 18) is  
12 **DENIED.IT IS SO ORDERED.**

13 **Dated: March 28, 2011**

14 /s/ Oliver W. Wanger  
15 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28