

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

8 ASOFA V. TAFIELE,

CASE NO. 1:10-CV-01493-LJO-GBC (PC)

9 Plaintiff,

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO  
BEGIN DISCOVERY AND MOTION FOR A  
STATUS CONFERENCE AS MOOT PER  
SCHEDULING ORDER

10 v.

11 KELLY HARRINGTON, et al.,

(Doc. 29)

12 Defendants.  
13 \_\_\_\_\_/

14  
15 Plaintiff is a prisoner proceeding pro se in a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.  
16 On October 19, 2011, Plaintiff filed a motion requesting a pretrial / status conference and permission  
17 to start discovery. Doc. 29. On October 24, 2011, the Court issued a discovery and scheduling order.  
18 Doc. 30. Moreover, pro se prisoner cases are not subject to conference requirements of Fed. R. Civ.  
19 P. 26(f). Thus, Plaintiff's request for discovery and a status conference is not necessary per the  
20 discovery and scheduling order issued October 24, 2011.

21 Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion is DENIED as moot.

22  
23 IT IS SO ORDERED.

24 Dated: November 7, 2011

25   
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE  
26  
27  
28