1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ASOFA V. TAFILELE,	) Case No.: 1:10cv01493 LJO DLB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	) ORDER DIRECTING PARTIES TO NOTIFY THE ) COURT WHETHER A SETTLEMENT
13	v.	) CONFERENCE BEFORE A UNITED STATES
14	HARRINGTON, et al.,	<ul><li>) MAGISTRATE JUDGE WOULD BE</li><li>) BENEFICIAL</li></ul>
15	Defendants.	) ) FIFTEEN DAY DEADLINE
16		)
17		) )
18	Plaintiff Asofa V. Tafiele ("Plaintiff") is a California state prisoner proceeding pro se and in	
19	forma pauperis in this civil action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action is proceeding on	
20	Plaintiff's claims that (1) Defendants Hernandez, Williams, Spurgeon and Murphy used excessive	
21	force against him in violation of the Eighth Amendment; and (2) Defendant Meza was deliberately	
22	indifferent to a serious medical need in violation of the Eighth Amendment. Trial is set for March 4,	
23	2014.	
24	Within fifteen (15) days from the date of service of this order, Plaintiff and Defendants are	
25	each to file a written response indicating whether a Court settlement conference would be beneficial in	
26	resolving this action. If both parties agree, the Court will issue a further scheduling order setting this	
27		
28		

1	matter for settlement conference before a Magistrate Judge or District Judge from the United States
2	District Court, Eastern District of California.
3	
4	
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	Dated: October 18, 2013 /s/ Dennis L. Beck
7	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2