

1  
2  
3  
4  
5 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
67 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
89 BRUCE PATRICK HANEY, CASE NO. 1:10-cv-01506-LJO-SKO PC  
10 v. Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING MOTION TO COMPEL  
11 L. EPTSTEIN, et al., INITIAL DISCOVERY RESPONSES AS  
12 Defendants. MOOT  
13 /14 Plaintiff Bruce Patrick Haney is a former state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil action  
15 for violation of his rights under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action for damages is proceeding on  
16 Plaintiff's First Amendment retaliation claim against Defendants Epstein and Gonzales.17 On May 16, 2012, Plaintiff filed a motion seeking to compel Defendants to respond to his  
18 interrogatories, request for admissions, and request for production of documents, which he served  
19 on March 21, 2012. On May 22, 2012, Defendants filed an opposition to the motion, arguing that  
20 it should be denied as moot because they served their discovery responses on May 16, 2012.  
21 Plaintiff did not file a reply.22 In as much as Plaintiff's motion sought to compel initial responses which were served on  
23 May 16, 2012, Plaintiff's motion is HEREBY ORDERED DENIED as moot.24  
25 IT IS SO ORDERED.26 Dated: July 11, 201227 /s/ **Sheila K. Oberto**  
28 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE