1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 7 8 LUIS ROBERTO MARTINEZ, CASE NO. 1:10-CV-1569 AWI DLB (PC) 9 **Plaintiff** ORDER CLOSING CASE IN LIGHT OF STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL WITH 10 PREJUDICE AND DENYING REQUEST v. TO TAKE DEPOSITION AS MOOT 11 KINGS COUNTY, et al., 12 **Defendants** (Doc. Nos. 44, 45) 13 14 15 On January 5, 2015, the parties filed a stipulation for dismissal of this case with prejudice 16 pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1). See Doc. No. 45. The notice is signed by 17 all remaining parties who have appeared in this case. 18 19 Rule 41(a)(1), in relevant part, reads: 20 (A) . . . the plaintiff may dismiss an action without a court order by filing: (i) a notice of dismissal before the opposing party serves either an answer or a motion 21 for summary judgment; or (ii) a stipulation of dismissal signed by all parties who 22 have appeared. . . . (B) Unless the notice or stipulation states otherwise, the dismissal is without prejudice. 23 Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) thus allows the parties to dismiss an action voluntarily, after service of an 24 answer, by filing a written stipulation to dismiss signed by all of the parties who have appeared, 25 26 although an oral stipulation in open court will also suffice. See Carter v. Beverly Hills Sav. & 27 Loan Asso., 884 F.2d 1186, 1191 (9th Cir. 1989); Eitel v. McCool, 782 F.2d 1470, 1472-73 (9th

28

Cir. 1986). Once the stipulation between the parties who have appeared is properly filed or made in open court, no order of the court is necessary to effectuate dismissal. Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 41(a)(1)(A); Eitel, 782 F.2d at 1473 n.4. "Caselaw concerning stipulated dismissals under Rule 41(a) (1) (ii) is clear that the entry of such a stipulation of dismissal is effective automatically and does not require judicial approval." In re Wolf, 842 F.2d 464, 466 (D.C. Cir. 1989); Gardiner v. A.H. Robins Co., 747 F.2d 1180, 1189 (8th Cir. 1984); see also Gambale v. Deutsche Bank AG, 377 F.3d 133, 139 (2d Cir. 2004); Commercial Space Mgmt. Co. v. Boeing Co., 193 F.3d 1074, 1077 (9th Cir. 1999).

As the parties have filed a stipulation for dismissal of this case with prejudice under Rule 41(a)(1) that is signed by all remaining parties who have made an appearance, this case has terminated. See Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii); In re Wolf, 842 F.2d at 466; Gardiner, 747 F.2d at 1189; see also Gambale, 377 F.3d at 139; Commercial Space Mgmt, 193 F.3d at 1077.

Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk shall CLOSE this case in light of the filed and properly signed Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) Stipulation Of Dismissal With Prejudice and Defendant's request to take plaintiff's deposition (Doc. No. 44) is DENIED as moot.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 23, 2015

SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE