(HC) Orozco v. Ha	rrington II	Doc.
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	VALDO C. OROZCO,	1:10-CV-01599 MJS (HC)
12	Petitioner,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
13	VS.	APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
14	KELLY HARRINGTON, Warden,	(Doc. 9)
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no	
18	absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See, e.g., Anderson v.	
19	Heinze, 258 F.2d 479, 481 (9th Cir. 1958); Mitchell v. Wyrick, 727 F.2d 773, 774 (8th Cir.	
20	1984). However, Title 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B) authorizes the appointment of counsel at	
21	any stage of the case if "the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Rules Governing	
22	Section 2254 Cases. In the present case, the Court does not find that the interests of justice	
23	require the appointment of counsel at the present time. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY	
24	ORDERED that Petitioner's request for appointment of counsel is denied.	
25		
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
27	Dated: December 13, 2010	Isl Michael F. Seng JNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28		
	.I	

Doc. 10