1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 BENICIA FUENTES as Guardian ad Litem CASE NO. 1:10-cv-01628-LJO-SMS of minors, L.G. and J.G. as successors 10 in interest to Decedent. ORDER DIRECTING ADDITIONAL BRIEFING IN SUPPORT OF ATTORNEYS' Plaintiff, 11 MOTIONS FOR LEAVE TO WITHDRAW AS COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFF BENICIA 12 **FUENTES** v. 13 THE CITY OF FRESNO, et al., 14 Defendants. (Docs. 33 and 34) 15 16 On August 22, 2011, attorneys Yan Shrayberman and Mario DiSalvo, counsel for 17 Plaintiff Benicia Fuentes, Guardian ad Litem of minors, L.G. and J.G., filed individual actions to 18 withdraw as counsel as a result of their inability to locate their client. Defendants have filed a 19 statement of non-opposition. 20 Local Rule 83-182(d) provides, in pertinent part, "Withdrawal as attorney is governed by 21 the Rules of Professional Conduct of the State Bar of California, and the attorney shall conform 22 to the requirements of those Rules." Generally, inability to locate the client is grounds for withdrawal from representation under C.R.C.P. 3-700(B). Cal. State Bar Formal Ops. 1989-111, 23 2002-160; Los Angeles Bar Ass'n Formal Op. 441 (1987). In this case, however, Benicia 24 Fuentes is not bringing the law suit individually, but is acting as guardian ad litem for minors 25 26 L.G. and J.G. A guardian ad litem cannot represent the minors without counsel. Mossanen v. Monfared, 77 Cal. App.4th 1402, 1409 (2000). 27 28 When a guardian ad litem retains counsel for a minor, the attorney-client relationship

exists with the minor, not the guardian. Paul W. Vapnek, et al., California Practice Guide Professional Responsibility § 3:122 (Rutter Group 2009). See Berry v. Chaplin, 74 Cal.App.2d 652, 657 (1946). A court errs if it permits counsel to withdraw before new counsel for a minor is retained. Mossanen, 77 Cal App.4th at 1410; Torres v. Friedman, 169 Cal.App.3d 880, 888 (1985).Neither Mossanen nor Torres considered a fact situation in which an attorney sought to withdraw from a case because he or she could not locate the guardian ad litem. Accordingly, Plaintiffs' counsel are hereby directed to submit supplemental briefs on or before October 7, 2011, addressing with specificity the appropriate procedure to be followed to ensure protection of the rights of the minor Plaintiffs, L.G. and J.G. The matter shall then be deemed submitted for decision pursuant to Local Rule 230(h) (Fed. R. Civ. P. 78). IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: September 22, 2011 /s/ Sandra M. Snyder