(HC) Travis Bondurant v. People of the State of California			Doc.	2
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	TRAVIS BONDURANT,	1:10-ev-01634 DLB (HC)		
12	Petitioner,	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE		
13	vs.	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF		
14	CALIFORNIA PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
15	Respondent.			
16				
17				
18	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a habeas corpus action pursuant to 28		28	
19	U.S.C. § 2254.			
20	The federal venue statute requires that a civil action, other than one based on diversity		sity	
21	jurisdiction, be brought only in "(1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all defendants reside		side	
22	in the same state, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise			
23	to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of the property that is the subject of the action is situated, or		or	
24	(3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be found, if there is no district in which the action may			
25	otherwise be brought." 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).			
26	In this case, the petitioner is challenging a conviction from San Diego County, which is in the			
27	Southern District of California. Therefore, the petition should have been filed in the United States			
28	District Court for the Southern District of California. In the interest of justice, a federal court may			
	-1-			
		-		

transfer a case filed in the wrong district to the correct district. See 28 U.S.C. § 1406(a); Starnes v. McGuire, 512 F.2d 918, 932 (D.C. Cir. 1974). Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the United States District Court for the Southern District of California. IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/ Dennis L. Beck
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Dated: September 15, 2010