(PC) Mattox v. Cas	strol, et al. Il	Doc. 8
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED S	STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JOHN DEAN MATTOX,) 1:10-cv-01641-OWW-GSA-PC
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER VACATING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS OF NOVEMBER 17,2010 (Doc. 6.)
13	vs.	
14	SGT. CASTROL, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	On November 17, 2010, the Court issued findings and recommendations, recommending that this	
18	action be dismissed for Plaintiff's failure to comply with the Court's order of September 17, 2010,	
19	requiring him to submit an application to proceed in forma pauperis or pay the \$350.00 filing fee for this	
20	action. (Doc. 6.) On November 22, 2010, Plaintiff submitted an application to proceed in forma	
21	pauperis. (Doc. 7.) In light of the fact that Plaintiff has submitted the application, IT IS HEREBY	
22	ORDERED THAT the findings and recommendations issued by the Court on November 17, 2010, are	
23	VACATED.	
24		
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
26	Dated: <u>November 23, 2010</u>	/s/ Gary S. Austin UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27		
28		