U.S.C. § 406(b)(1), requesting \$9,172.60 for 43.3 hours of attorney services. (Doc. 32). After

28

Doc. 37

crediting \$3,900.00 received previously pursuant to the EAJA, Counsel requests a net fee of \$5,272.60 from the past-due award. No opposition to the motion was filed.

On May 31, 2013, the Magistrate Judge issued Findings and Recommendations recommending that Plaintiff's Motion for Attorneys' Fees be granted. (Doc. 35). The Findings and Recommendations were served on all parties. The parties were advised that any objections were to be filed no later than June 28, 2013. (Doc. 36). To date, no party has filed any objections.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(c), this Court has conducted a *de novo* review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds that the Findings and Recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- The Findings and Recommendations dated May 31, 2012 (Doc. 35), are ADOPTED IN FULL; and
- 2. Plaintiff's counsel Motion for Attorney's Fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 406(b)(1) in the amount of \$9,172.60 (a net fee of \$5,272.60 from the past-due award) is granted. (Doc. 32). Pursuant to Counsel's request, this amount shall be paid directly to attorney Sengthiene Bosavanh. Upon payment, Counsel shall refund \$3,900.00 to Plaintiff.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 2, 2013 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE