

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

J & J SPORTS PRODUCTION, INC.,)	1:10-CV-1697 AWI BAM
)	
Plaintiff,)	ORDER CLOSING THE CASE
)	DUE TO VOLUNTARY
v.)	DISMISSAL
)	
JOSE WALLE, et. al.,)	
)	
Defendants.)	

On January 30, 2012, the parties filed a stipulation for dismissal of this case with prejudice pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1). The notice is signed by all parties who have appeared in this case.

Rule 41(a)(1), in relevant part, reads:

(A) . . . the plaintiff may dismiss an action without a court order by filing: (i) a notice of dismissal before the opposing party serves either an answer or a motion for summary judgment; or (ii) a stipulation of dismissal signed by all parties who have appeared. . . . (B) Unless the notice or stipulation states otherwise, the dismissal is without prejudice.

Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) thus allows the parties to dismiss an action voluntarily, after service of an answer, by filing a written stipulation to dismiss signed by all of the parties who have appeared, although an oral stipulation in open court will also suffice. See Carter v. Beverly Hills Sav. & Loan Asso., 884 F.2d 1186, 1191 (9th Cir. 1989); Eitel v. McCool, 782 F.2d 1470, 1472-73 (9th Cir. 1986). Once the stipulation between the parties who have appeared is properly filed or made in open court, no order of the court is necessary to effectuate dismissal. Fed. R. Civ. Pro.

41(a)(1)(A); Eitel, 782 F.2d at 1473 n.4. “Caselaw concerning stipulated dismissals under Rule

1 41(a) (1) (ii) is clear that the entry of such a stipulation of dismissal is effective automatically and
2 does not require judicial approval.” In re Wolf, 842 F.2d 464, 466 (D.C. Cir. 1989); Gardiner v.
3 A.H. Robins Co., 747 F.2d 1180, 1189 (8th Cir. 1984); see also Gambale v. Deutsche Bank AG,
4 377 F.3d 133, 139 (2d Cir. 2004); Commercial Space Mgmt. Co. v. Boeing Co., 193 F.3d 1074,
5 1077 (9th Cir. 1999) cf. Wilson v. City of San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997)
6 (addressing Rule 41(a)(1)(i) dismissals).

7 As the parties have filed a stipulation for dismissal of this case with prejudice under Rule
8 41(a)(1) that is signed by all parties who have made an appearance, this case has terminated. See
9 Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii); In re Wolf, 842 F.2d at 466; Gardiner, 747 F.2d at 1189; see
10 also Gambale, 377 F.3d at 139; Commercial Space Mgmt, 193 F.3d at 1077; cf. Wilson, 111
11 F.3d at 692.

12
13 Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk is to CLOSE this case in light of
14 the parties’s filed and properly signed Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) Stipulation Of Dismissal with
15 prejudice.

16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

17 Dated: January 31, 2012

18 
19 _____
20 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
21
22
23
24
25
26
27