

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KEVIN E. FIELDS,
Plaintiff,
vs.
P. PATTERSON, et al.,
Defendants.

1:10-cv-01700-LJO-EPG-PC
ORDER FOR DEFENDANT PATTERSON
TO FILE A RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S
MOTIONS FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
WITHIN TWENTY DAYS
(ECF Nos. 88, 89.)
ORDER DIRECTING CLERK TO SEND
COPY OF THIS ORDER TO LITIGATION
COORDINATOR

Plaintiff is a prisoner proceeding *pro se* in a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On January 4, 2016, Plaintiff filed motions for extension of time to file oppositions to Defendant Patterson's motion for summary judgment, and Defendant Patterson's motion for an order requiring security from a vexatious litigant.¹ (ECF Nos. 88, 89.) Plaintiff asserts that on December 29, 2015, he was transferred from the security housing unit to the administrative segregation unit at CSP-Corcoran, and all of his personal and legal property was confiscated and will not be returned to him until the property officers inspect his property for contraband.

¹ On August 7, 2015, Defendant Patterson filed a motion for summary judgment. (ECF No. 67.) On August 13, 2015, Defendant Patterson filed a motion for an order requiring security from vexatious litigant. (ECF No. 68.)

1 Plaintiff also asserts that he lacks sufficient paper, envelopes, and ink to file the oppositions by
2 the established deadline, despite having already requested the same from prison officials.

3 Plaintiff's oppositions to Defendant's motions were initially due on September 10, 2015
4 and September 17, 2015. These are Plaintiff's fifth motions for extension of time to file the
5 two oppositions, based on Plaintiff's assertions that he lacks access to his property, the law
6 library, and writing supplies. The Court is inclined to grant Plaintiff's motions, but needs to
7 hear from Defendant before determining the length of time for the extensions. The Court also
8 seeks Defendant's response to Plaintiff's reasons for the requested extension. Therefore,
9 Defendant shall be required to respond to Plaintiff's motions within twenty days.

10 Based on the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

11 1. Within twenty days of the date of service of this order, Defendant Patterson shall
12 file a response to Plaintiff's motions for extension of time filed on January 4, 2016, as
13 discussed by this order; and

14 2. The Clerk of Court is directed to send a copy of this order to the Litigation
15 Coordinator at Corcoran State Prison, either by mail at P.O. Box 8800, Corcoran, CA 93212-
16 8309, or by electronic service.

17
18 IT IS SO ORDERED.

19 Dated: January 6, 2016

20 /s/ Eric P. Gray
21 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
22
23
24
25
26
27
28