1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	LINGTER COLOR OF CIVER OR OF THE	
9		
10	ALBERT ANDREW LUCERO,	1:10 -cv-1714-AWI-SKO (HC)
11	Petitioner,	ODDED DENIVING MOTION FOR
12	V.	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
13	KIM HOLLAND,	(Document#57)
14	Respondent.	
15		
16	Detition on hos magazeted the appointment]
17	Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no	
18	absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See, e.g., Anderson v. Heinze, 258 F.2d 470, 481 (0th Cir. 1058); Mitchell v. Wyriol, 727 F.2d 773, 774 (8th Cir.	
19	Heinze, 258 F.2d 479, 481 (9th Cir. 1958); Mitchell v. Wyrick, 727 F.2d 773, 774 (8th Cir. 1984). However, Title 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B) authorizes the appointment of counsel	
20	at any stage of the case if "the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Rules	
21	Governing Section 2254 Cases. In the present case, the Court does not find that the interests	
22	of justice require the appointment of counsel at the present time. Accordingly, IT IS	
23	HEREBY ORDERED that Petitioner's request for appointment of counsel is DENIED.	
24	TIERED I ORDERED mat I ennouer's reque	st for appointment of counsel is DENIED.
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
26	Dated: June 3, 2015	/s/ Sheila K. Oberto
27	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28		