

1
2
3
4
5
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
78 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
910 LONZO SHEPPARD, CASE NO. 1:10-cv-01716 LJO GSA PC
11 v. Plaintiff, ORDER DIRECTING PLAINTIFF TO FILE
12 F. IGBINOSA, et al., SIGNED COMPLAINT WITHIN THIRTY
13 Defendants. DAYS
14 /
1516 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action
17 pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 302 pursuant
18 to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).19 The Court is required to screen complaints brought by prisoners seeking relief against a
20 governmental entity or officer or employee of a governmental entity. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a). The
21 Court must dismiss a complaint or portion thereof if the prisoner has raised claims that are legally
22 “frivolous or malicious,” that fail to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, or that seek
23 monetary relief from a defendant who is immune from such relief. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(b)(1),(2).
24 “Notwithstanding any filing fee, or any portion thereof, that may have been paid, the court shall
25 dismiss the case at any time if the court determines that . . . the action or appeal . . . fails to state a
26 claim upon which relief may be granted.” 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(ii).27 “Rule 8(a)’s simplified pleading standard applies to all civil actions, with limited
28 exceptions,” none of which applies to section 1983 actions. Swierkiewicz v. Sorema N. A., 534 U.S.

1 506, 512 (2002); Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a). Pursuant to Rule 8(a), a complaint must contain “a short and
2 plain statement of the claim showing that the pleader is entitled to relief” Fed. R. Civ. P. 8(a).
3 “Such a statement must simply give the defendant fair notice of what the plaintiff’s claim is and the
4 grounds upon which it rests.” Swierkiewicz, 534 U.S. at 512. However, “the liberal pleading
5 standard . . . applies only to a plaintiff’s factual allegations.” Neitze v. Williams, 490 U.S. 319, 330
6 n.9 (1989). “[A] liberal interpretation of a civil rights complaint may not supply essential elements
7 of the claim that were not initially pled.” Bruns v. Nat’l Credit Union Admin., 122 F.3d 1251, 1257
8 (9th Cir. 1997) (quoting Ivey v. Bd. of Regents, 673 F.2d 266, 268 (9th Cir. 1982)).

9 Plaintiff, an inmate in the custody of the California Department of Corrections and
10 Rehabilitation at Pleasant Valley State Prison, brings this civil rights action against the following
11 officials: J. Yates, Warden at Pleasant Valley; F. Igbinosa, Chief Medical Officer at Pleasant Valley;
12 Maria Kozol, health care provider at Pleasant Valley; Dr. Green, M.D., a physician at Pleasant
13 Valley; R. Bond, a nurse at Pleasant Valley; Christopher Smith, a physician at Mule Creek State
14 Prison. Plaintiff claims that defendants were deliberately indifferent to his serious medical needs.
15 Plaintiff specifically alleges that defendants have refused to allow him to have needed hernia surgery.

16 A review of the complaint reveals that Plaintiff has failed to sign the complaint. Plaintiff’s
17 complaint must include the original signature of Plaintiff, appearing pro se. Federal Rule of Civil
18 Procedure 11, Local Rule 131(b). The complaint should therefore be dismissed. The Court will
19 grant Plaintiff thirty days in which to file a signed complaint. Plaintiff’s failure to do so will result
20 in dismissal of this action pursuant to Rule 11.

21 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff shall submit, within thirty days of the
22 date of service of this order, a signed complaint, in compliance with Local Rule 131(b) and Federal
23 Rule of Civil Procedure 131(b). Plaintiff’s failure to comply with this order will result in dismissal
24 of this action.

25
26
27 IT IS SO ORDERED.

28 Dated: April 2, 2012

/s/ Gary S. Austin

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28