UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
MICHAEL S. DAVIS, 1:10-cv-1730-AWI-SKO (HC)
Petitioner, ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
vs. APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
KEN CLARK, (DOCUMENT #1)
Respondent.
/
Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel. There currently exists no
absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See, e.g., Anderson v. Heinze,
258 F.2d 479, 481 (9th Cir. 1958); Mitchell v. Wyrick, 727 F.2d 773, 774 (8th Cir. 1984).
However, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B) authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage of
the case if "the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Rules Governing Section 2254
Cases. In the present case, the Court does not find that the interests of justice require the
appointment of counsel at the present time. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that
Petitioner's request for appointment of counsel is denied.
IT IS SO ORDERED.
Dated:May 25, 2011/s/ Sheila K. ObertoUNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE