

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

STEVEN SANCHEZ,
Petitioner,
vs.
K. ALLISON,
Respondent.

1:10-cv-1731-JLT (HC)

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR
APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL

(Doc. 3)

_____ /

Petitioner has requested the appointment of counsel, citing the need to protect his “interest” through “professional assistance.” (Doc. 3). There currently exists no absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. *See, e.g., Anderson v. Heinze*, 258 F.2d 479, 481 (9th Cir. 1958); *Mitchell v. Wyrick*, 727 F.2d 773, 774 (8th Cir. 1984). However, Title 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B) authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage of the case if “the interests of justice so require.” *See* Rule 8(c), Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. In the present case, the Court does not find that the interests of justice require the appointment of counsel at the present time. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Petitioner's request for appointment of counsel (Doc. 3), is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 24, 2010

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE