

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NORMAN L. PIMENTEL,	)	1:10cv01736 LJO DLB
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
COUNTY OF FRESNO, et al.,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

Plaintiff Norman L. Pimentel initiated this action in March 2009. He filed a Fourth Amended Complaint on October 19, 2011, against Defendants County of Fresno (“County”), Captain Jose Flores (“Flores”) and Does 2, 3, 4 and 5. Doc. 47.

On January 24, 2012, the Court dismissed Plaintiff’s claims against the County and Flores with prejudice and judgment was entered in their favor. Docs. 60 and 61.

Following dismissal of the claims against the County and Flores, Plaintiff has a single remaining claim for deliberate indifference against Defendants Does 2, 3, 4 and 5, who are identified as “employees of the County serving in the Fresno County Sheriffs Department as corrections officers in the jail.” Doc. 47 ¶ 5.

To date, Plaintiff has failed to substitute the true names for each of the Doe Defendants and there is no indication that he has undertaken any efforts at discovery to identify these unknown defendants. See Wakefield v. Thompson, 177 F.3d 1160, 1163 (9th Cir. 1999) (“[W]here the identity of the alleged defendant is not known prior to the filing of a complaint, the plaintiff should be given an opportunity through discovery to identify the unknown defendants,

