1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	HORACE BELL,	CASE NO. 1:10-cv-01762-SKO PC
10 11	Plaintiff, v.	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR THE APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL AND FOR DISCOVERY
12	SHERRY LOPEZ, et al.,	(Doc. 17)
13	Defendants.	
14	//	
15	Plaintiff Horace Bell, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed this civil	
16	rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on September 24, 2010. On May 9, 2011, Plaintiff filed	
17	a motion seeking the appointment of counsel and for leave to review Defendants' personnel files.	
18	Plaintiff does not have a constitutional right to the appointment of counsel in this action.	
19	Palmer v. Valdez, 560 F.3d 965, 970 (9th Cir. 2009); Storseth v. Spellman, 654 F.2d 1349, 1353 (9th	
20	Cir. 1981). The Court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §	
21	1915(e)(1), but it will do so only if exceptional circumstances exist. Palmer, 560 F.3d at 970;	
22	Wilborn v. Escalderon, 789 F.2d 1328, 1331 (9th Cir. 1981). In making this determination, the	
23	Court must evaluate the likelihood of success on the merits and the ability of Plaintiff to articulate	
24	his claims pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved. Palmer, 560 F.3d at 970	
25	(citation and quotation marks omitted); Wilborn, 789 F.2d at 1331. Neither consideration is	
26	dispositive and they must be viewed together. Palmer, 560 F.3d at 970 (citation and quotation marks	
27	omitted); Wilborn 789 F.2d at 1331.	
28	///	
	1	

1	In the present case, the Court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. Plaintiff	
2	is able to adequately articulate his claims and in light of the separately issued screening order, the	
3	Court cannot find at this juncture that Plaintiff is likely to succeed on the merits. Therefore,	
4	Plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel is HEREBY DENIED.	
5	With respect to reviewing Defendants' personnel files, discovery is not yet open. Plaintiff's	
6	request is premature and is HEREBY DENIED on that ground.	
7		
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
9	Dated: August 12, 2011 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
10	UNITED STATES WADISTRATE JODGE	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	