1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	VENCIL GREEN,	Case No. 1:10-cv-01768 AWI JLT (PC)	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING REQUEST FOR	
13	VS.	COURTESY COPY OF SCHEDULING ORDER	
14	DR. LARRY N. FERGUSON, et. al.,	(Doc. 28)	
15	Defendants.	(D00. 28)	
16			
17	Plaintiff Vencil Green is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this		
18	civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On April 19, 2012, Plaintiff filed a motion		
19	seeking "clarification" relating to discovery in this matter. (Doc. 28). Plaintiff states that he had		
20	not received a scheduling order in this case allowing discovery, but did receive discovery requests		
21	propounded by Defendants. (Id.) Plaintiff requests the Court "reiterate" its scheduling order, if		
22	one had been issued. (Id.)		
23	Generally, the Court will not provide copies of orders that were previously served.		
24	However, On the one occasion, the Court will provide Plaintiff with a courtesy copy of the		
25	Discovery and Scheduling Order in this matter. (Doc. 22).		
26	Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:		
27	1. Plaintiff's request for a copy of the Discovery and Scheduling Order issued		
28			
		1	
	•		

1	December 23, 2011 is GRANTED;	
2	2. The Clerk of the Court is instructed to send Plaintiff a courtesy copy of the Court's	
3	December 23, 20111 Discovery and Scheduling Order. (Doc. 22).	
4		
5		
6	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
7	Dated: April 19, 2012 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18 19		
20		
20		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	2	