UNITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
8 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
LEONARDO JOSEPH RANGEL,	CASE NO. 1:10-cv-01790-AWI-BAM PC
Plaintiff,	ORDER REQUIRING DEFENDANTS TO RESPOND TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR
v.	SANCTIONS
JAMES TILTON, et al.,	(ECF No. 39)
Defendants.	TWENTY-ONE DAY DEADLINE
/	
15 Plaintiff Leonardo Joseph Rangel is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis	
16 in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action is proceeding on the first	
17 amended complaint, filed January 9, 2012, against Defendants Latraille and Tabor for excessive	
18 force in violation of the Eighth Amendment.	
19 On December 26, 2012, Plaintiff filed a motion for discovery sanctions. Accordingly, it is	
20 HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants shall file an opposition or a statement of non-opposition to	
21 Plaintiff's motion for sanctions within twenty-one (21) days from the date of service of this order.	
22 Local Rule 230(1). Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions	
23 deemed appropriate by the Court. Local Rule 110.	
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Dated: <u>January 7, 2013</u>	/s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
	UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE
	1
	LEONARDO JOSEPH RANGEL, Plaintiff, v. JAMES TILTON, et al., Defendants. Plaintiff Leonardo Joseph Rangel is a s in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S amended complaint, filed January 9, 2012, a force in violation of the Eighth Amendment. On December 26, 2012, Plaintiff filed HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants shall f Plaintiff's motion for sanctions within twenty Local Rule 230(1). Failure to comply with t deemed appropriate by the Court. Local Rule IT IS SO ORDERED.