

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 KEVIN HELM,) 1:10-cv-01801 LJO GSA
11 Plaintiff,)
12 v.) **ORDER REGARDING THE PARTIES'**
13 MICHAEL S. ASTRUE, Commissioner of) **STIPULATION REGARDING REPLY**
14 Social Security,) **BRIEF FILED SEPTEMBER 6, 2011**
15 Defendant.)
16

17 On September 6, 2011, the parties filed a Stipulation to extend the time within which
18 Plaintiff may file a reply brief, from September 6, 2011, to October 6, 2011. Plaintiff indicates
19 that “[a]n attorney recently left the firm and more cases have been reassigned to Plaintiff’s
20 attorney” as a result. (Doc. 1.)

21 Despite the parties’ stipulation to a thirty day extension of time, such a lengthy extension
22 for a reply brief is not warranted. The time for filing a reply brief is fifteen days following the
23 filing of Defendant’s brief; thus, a proper extension of time would be a second fifteen-day period.
24 Therefore, Plaintiff may have through September 21, 2011, within which to file a reply brief.
25

26 IT IS SO ORDERED.
27

Dated: September 7, 2011

/s/ Gary S. Austin
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE