(HC) Ibarra v. Hedgpeth		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	JOHNNY LUGO IBARRA, 1:1	10-cv-1809-LJO-DLB (HC)
10		RDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY STAY OF
11	v.	TITION SHOULD NOT BE VACATED
12	ANTHONY HEDGPETH,	
13		
14	Respondent.	
15	Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas corpus	
16	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.	
17	On June 16, 2011, the Court granted Petitioner's request to stay the petition pending	
18	exhaustion in the state courts. Petitioner was directed to file a status report every thirty days	
19		
20	thereafter. Petitioner has filed a total of nine status reports-the most recent on September 20,	
21	2012. However, Petitioner has failed to file a timely status report after the September 20, 2012,	
22	filing. Accordingly, within twenty (20) days from the date of service of this order, Petitioner	
23	shall show cause why the stay should not be vacated. Petitioner is advised that failure to comply	
24	with this order may result in a recommendation that the action be dismissed for failure to	
25	prosecute. Local Rule 110.	
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
27	Dated: November 1, 2012	/s/ Dennis L. Beck INITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28		
-		
	1	

Doc. 44