| 1 | | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | | |
| 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 9 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | JOHNNY LUGO IBARRA, IV, |) Case No.: 1:10-cv-01809 LJO SAB HC |
| 12 | Petitioner, |) ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR) APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL |
| 13 | v. |) [Doc. #74] |
| 14 | ANTHONY HEDGPETH, |) |
| 15 | Respondent. |)) |
| 16 | | _) _) |
| 17 | Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas corpus | |
| 18 | pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. | |
| 19 | On September 16, 2013, Petitioner filed a motion for appointment of counsel. There currently | |
| 20 | exists no absolute right to appointment of counsel in habeas proceedings. See, e.g., Anderson v. | |
| 21 | Heinze, 258 F.2d 479, 481 (9th Cir. 1958); Mitchell v. Wyrick, 727 F.2d 773, 774 (8th Cir. 1984). | |
| 22 | However, 18 U.S.C. § 3006A(a)(2)(B) authorizes the appointment of counsel at any stage of the case | |
| 23 | if "the interests of justice so require." See Rule 8(c), Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. In the | |
| 24 | present case, the Court does not find that the interests of justice require the appointment of counsel at | |
| 25 | the present time. | |
| 26 | /// | |
| 27 | /// | |
| 28 | /// | |
| | | 1 |

| 1 | Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Petitioner's request for appointment of counsel |
|----------|--|
| 2 | is denied. |
| 3 | |
| 4 | |
| 5 | IT IS SO ORDERED. |
| 6 | Straft K Bo |
| 7 | Dated: September 19, 2013 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE |
| 8 | |
| 9 | |
| 10 | |
| 11 | |
| 12 | |
| 13 | |
| 14 | |
| 15 | |
| 16 17 | |
| 17 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| | 2 |