

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JOHNNY LUGO IBARRA, IV,
Petitioner,
v.
ANTHONY HEDGPETH,
Respondent.

Case No. 1:10-cv-01089-LJO-SAB-HC

ORDER GRANTING PETITIONER’S FIFTH
MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME TO
FILE TRAVERSE
[ECF NO. 90]
[THIRTY DAY DEADLINE]

ORDER GRANTING PETITIONER’S
MOTION TO EXCEED PAGE LIMITS

Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in a habeas corpus action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.

On February 18, 2014, Petitioner filed his fifth motion to extend time to file a traverse to Respondent’s answer. Petitioner states he has experienced lockdowns preventing access to the law library due to contractors installing cell-phone jammers at the institution. He also states he has not been able to access the library due to the holidays and the prison being short of staff. Further, he states the library was given new computers and has transitioned from Westlaw to Lexis-Nexis. He states he has had to learn the new system and this has caused additional delay. With the exception of the transition from Westlaw to Lexis-Nexis, the reasons provided are the same reasons Petitioner set forth in the previous request for an extension.

The answer was filed on August 23, 2013. With an additional thirty day extension, Petitioner will have had over seven (7) months to file a traverse. This is more than ample time to

1 prepare a traverse. For the reasons Petitioner has provided in his motion, the Court will grant
2 one further extension. However, no additional requests will be granted absent extraordinary
3 circumstances above and beyond library lockdowns, holidays, staff shortages, computer
4 problems, and such other routinely-occurring incidents of prison life.

5 Petitioner has also filed a motion to exceed page limits. Petitioner states the legal issues
6 are complex and he has had to seek assistance from several fellow inmates. Good cause having
7 been presented to the Court, his request to exceed page limits is granted.

8 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

9 1) Petitioner is GRANTED an extension of time of thirty (30) days from the date of
10 service of this Order. However, Petitioner is cautioned that **no further requests will be granted**
11 **absent a showing of extraordinary circumstances.**

12 2) Petitioner's request to exceed page limits is GRANTED.

13
14 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15 Dated: February 24, 2014


UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28