2	
3	
7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
SAMUEL KENNETH PORTER,	Case No. 1:10-cv-01811-AWI-DLB PC
Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF
v.	EXPERT
CAPTAIN JENNINGS, et al.,	ECF No. 111
Defendants.	
15	
Plaintiff Samuel Kenneth Porter ("Plaintiff") is a prisoner in the custody of the California	
17 Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation ("CDCR"). Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in	
18 forma pauperis in this civil action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action is proceeding on	
19 Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, filed April 14, 2011 against Defendants Jennings, Lowe, and	
20 Darlene for deliberate indifference in violation of the Eighth Amendment.	
On January 15, 2013, Plaintiff filed a motion requesting the appointment of an expert	
22 witness. ECF No. 111. The motion is submitted pursuant to Local Rule 230(1).	
An expert witness may testify to help the trier of fact determine the evidence or a fact at	
24 issue. Fed. R. Evid. 702. Federal courts have discretion to appoint expert witnesses, and parties	
25 may provide names of which witnesses to appoint. Fed. R. Evid. 706(a),(d); <i>Walker v. American</i>	
26 <i>Home Shield Long Term Disability Plan</i> , 180 F.3d 1065, 1071 (9th Cir. 1999). A court may appoint	
27 an expert witness when "scientific, technical, or other specialized knowledge will assist the trier of	
fact to understand the evidence or determine a fact in issue." Fed. R. Evid. 702. Expert witnesses	
	EASTERN DISTR SAMUEL KENNETH PORTER, Plaintiff, v. CAPTAIN JENNINGS, et al., Defendants. Plaintiff Samuel Kenneth Porter ("Plainti Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (" forma pauperis in this civil action pursuant to 42 Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, filed April Darlene for deliberate indifference in violation o On January 15, 2013, Plaintiff filed a mo witness. ECF No. 111. The motion is submitted An expert witness may testify to help the issue. Fed. R. Evid. 702. Federal courts have d may provide names of which witnesses to appoin <i>Home Shield Long Term Disability Plan</i> , 180 F.3 an expert witness when "scientific, technical, or

should not be appointed where they are not necessary or significantly useful for the trier of fact to
comprehend a material issue in a case. *Gorton v. Todd*, 793 F. Supp. 2d 1171, 1181 (E.D. Cal.
2011).

Plaintiff's motion is denied. The Court does not find that specialized knowledge is necessary to evaluate whether Defendants violated Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment rights. Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion for appointment of expert, filed January 15, 2013, is denied.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 10, 2013

15/ Dennis L. Beck UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE