1		
$\frac{1}{2}$		
2		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	LAWRENCE CHRISTOPHER SMITH,	Case No.: 1:10-cv-01814-LJO-JLT (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DISREGARDING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR STATUS ON HIS MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT THE COMPLAINT AND ON
13	v.	
14	D. GOSS, et al.,	DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS
15	Defendants.	(Doc. 134)
16		
17	Plaintiff Lawrence Christopher Smith ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding <i>pro se</i> and <i>in</i>	
18 19	<i>forma pauperis</i> in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On March 23, 2015, Plaintiff	
20	filed a motion requesting a status on his motion to supplement the Complaint and indicating that he	
20	was awaiting a ruling on Defendant Byers' motion to dismiss as well. (Doc. 134.) Findings and recommendations which are pending on both of these motions have mooted Plaintiff's inquiry.	
22	The Court realizes that Plaintiff has had some concerns regarding whether documents he has	
23	submitted to prison staff for mailing have been received for filing. However, Plaintiff is reminded that	
24	(1) all motions have time for filing of oppositions and replies which are usually liberally extended	
25	when requested and (2) that this Court has one of the most pressing case-loads in the country. Thus,	
26	motions like all other filings must wait their turn for review, consideration, and eventual rulings.	
27	Further requests for status, without justification for inquiry will not be well received as scarce	
28	resources must be diverted from substantive matters to respond even to this.	
		1

1	Accordingly, it his HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's request/motion, filed on March 23,		
2	2015 (Doc. 134), is DISREGARDED as moot.		
3			
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
5	Dated: April 14, 2015 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston		
6	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	2		