pursuant to 28 U.S.C. 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302 of the United States District Court

25

for the Eastern District of California.

26

27

Doc. 37

On July 19, 2012, findings and recommendations denying Plaintiff's motion for temporary restraining order without prejudice (Findings and Recommendations Denying Mot., ECF No. 36) were filed in which the Magistrate Judge recommended that Plaintiff's motion for temporary restraining order (ECF No. 35) be denied without prejudice as he failed to provide facts which would enable a finding that he is in need of and entitled to injunctive relief. The parties were notified that objection, if any, was due within fourteen days. The fourteen day deadline has passed without any party having filed objections or seeking an extension of time to do so.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the Findings and Recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- The Court adopts the Findings and Recommendations filed July 19, 2012, in full, and
- Plaintiff's Motion for Temporary Restraining Order (ECF No. 35) is DENIED without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated:August 15, 2012/s/ Lawrence J. O'NeillUNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE