

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DORRENDA THOMAS, individually, and on behalf of other members of the general public similarly situated, and as an aggrieved employee pursuant to the Private Attorneys General Act,

Plaintiff,

v.

AETNA, INC., et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. 1:10-cv-01906-AWI-SKO

RELATED CASE: 1:09-cv-01995-AWI-SKO

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

ORDER DISMISSING CLAIM FOR PAGA PENALTIES AGAINST DEFENDANT AETNA HEALTH OF CALIFORNIA, INC

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR REMAND

Docket No. 17, 37

On June 2, 2011, the Magistrate Judge issued Findings and Recommendations that Plaintiff's Motion for Remand be DENIED. (Doc. 37.) These Findings and Recommendations were served on all parties appearing in the action and contained notice that any objections were to be filed within fifteen (15) after service of the order. Plaintiff filed objections on June 16, 2011 (Docs. 39, 40, 41), and Defendants filed a response to Plaintiff's objections on June 30, 2011 (Docs. 42, 43).

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a *de novo* review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, as well as Plaintiff's objections

1 and Defendants' response to Plaintiff's objections, the Court concludes that the Magistrate Judge's
2 Findings and Recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis.

3 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 4 1. The Findings and Recommendations issued June 2, 2011, are ADOPTED IN FULL;
- 5 2. The claim for PAGA penalties asserted against Defendant Aetna Health of
6 California, Inc. is DISMISSED; and
- 7 3. Plaintiff's Motion for Remand is DENIED.

8
9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

10 Dated: August 30, 2011

11 
12 _____
13 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28