I

1	1	
2	2	
3	3	
4	4	
5	5	
6	5	
7	7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10)	
11	ELIZABETH SLIGER, et al.,	CASE NO. CV F 10-1952 LJO SMS
12	2 Plaintiffs,	ORDER ON WHETHER ACTION WAS FILED IN IMPROPER DIVISION
13	PROSPECT MORTGAGE LLC,	
14	Defendant.	
15		
16	Plaintiffs' first amended complaint indicates that they intended to file this action in this Court's	
17	Sacramento Division. The first amended complaint states: "Pursuant to LR 120, this action is properly	
18	assigned to the Sacramento Division of the Eastern District of California because a substantial portion	
19	of the events giving rise to this dispute occurred in Placer County, California." As such, this Court	
20	ORDERS plaintiffs' counsel, no later than February 16, 2011, to file and serve his declaration under	
21	penalty of perjury to state whether this action was mistakenly or wrongly filed in this Court's Fresno	
22	Division.	
23	3	
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
25	5 Dated: February 11, 2011	/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill ED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
26		STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
27	7	
28	3	
	1	

Dockets.Justia.com