

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 WILLIE PAUL VIGIL, JR.,

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

14 WARDEN JAMES A. YATES, et al.,

15 Defendants.
16
17
18

1:10-cv-01977-LJO-GSA-PC

FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS
TO DISMISS CASE FOR PLAINTIFF'S
FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH COURT
ORDER
(Doc. 19.)

OBJECTIONS, IF ANY, DUE IN THIRTY
DAYS

19 On May 14, 2014, the court issued an order requiring Plaintiff to either file an amended
20 complaint or notify the court of his willingness to proceed with the claims found cognizable by
21 the court, within thirty days. (Doc. 19.) The thirty day deadline has expired, and Plaintiff has
22 not filed an amended complaint or a notice, or otherwise responded to the court's order.

23 In determining whether to dismiss this action for failure to comply with the directives
24 set forth in its order, "the Court must weigh the following factors: (1) the public's interest in
25 expeditious resolution of litigation; (2) the court's need to manage its docket; (3) the risk of
26 prejudice to defendants/respondents; (4) the availability of less drastic alternatives; and (5) the
27 public policy favoring disposition of cases on their merits." Pagtalunan v. Galaza, 291 F.3d
28 639, 642 (9th Cir. 2002) (citing Ferdik v. Bonzelet, 963 F.2d 1258, 1260-61 (9th Cir. 1992)).

1 “The public’s interest in expeditious resolution of litigation always favors dismissal,”
2 id. (quoting Yourish v. California Amplifier, 191 F.3d 983, 990 (9th Cir. 1999)), and here, the
3 action has been pending since October 21, 2010. Plaintiff’s failure to respond to the Court’s
4 order may reflect Plaintiff’s disinterest in prosecuting this case. In such an instance, the Court
5 cannot continue to expend its scarce resources assisting a litigant who will not help himself by
6 amending the complaint or notifying the court of his willingness to proceed. Thus, both the
7 first and second factors weigh in favor of dismissal.

8 Turning to the risk of prejudice, “pendency of a lawsuit is not sufficiently prejudicial in
9 and of itself to warrant dismissal.” Id. (citing Yourish at 991). However, “delay inherently
10 increases the risk that witnesses’ memories will fade and evidence will become stale,” id., and it
11 is Plaintiff’s failure to respond to the Court’s order that is causing delay. Therefore, the third
12 factor weighs in favor of dismissal.

13 As for the availability of lesser sanctions, at this stage in the proceedings there is little
14 available to the Court which would constitute a satisfactory lesser sanction while protecting the
15 Court from further unnecessary expenditure of its scarce resources. Plaintiff is proceeding in
16 forma pauperis in this action, making monetary sanctions of little use, and given the early stage
17 of these proceedings, the preclusion of evidence or witnesses is not available. However,
18 inasmuch as the dismissal being considered in this case is without prejudice, the Court is
19 stopping short of issuing the harshest possible sanction of dismissal with prejudice.

20 Finally, because public policy favors disposition on the merits, this factor will always
21 weigh against dismissal. Id. at 643.

22 Accordingly, the court **HEREBY RECOMMENDS** that this action be dismissed
23 without prejudice, based on Plaintiff’s failure to obey the court’s order of May 14, 2014.

24 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge
25 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of Title 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within thirty
26 days after being served with these findings and recommendations, Plaintiff may file written
27 objections with the court. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate
28 Judge’s Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections

1 within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v.
2 Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

3
4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 Dated: June 25, 2014

/s/ Gary S. Austin
6 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE