1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	IVAN CLAUS, 1:10-cv-02022-MJS (HC)
12	Petitioner, ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
13	THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14	VS.
15	KEN CLARK,
16	Respondent.
17	/
18	
19	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a habeas corpus action
20	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.
21	The federal venue statute requires that a civil action, other than one based on diversity
22	jurisdiction, be brought only in "(1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all
23	defendants reside in the same state, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the
24	events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of the property that
25	is the subject of the action is situated, or (3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be
26	found, if there is no district in which the action may otherwise be brought." 28 U.S.C.
27	1391(b).
28	///
	-1-

Venue for a habeas action is proper in either the district of confinement or the district
 of conviction. 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d). The district court for the district wherein such an
 application is filed in the exercise of its discretion and in furtherance of justice may transfer the
 application to the other district court for hearing and determination. <u>Id.</u>

5 It is preferable for petitions challenging a conviction or sentence to be heard in the district of conviction while petitions challenging the manner in which the sentence is being 6 7 executed be heard in the district of confinement. Dunne v. Henman, 875 F.2d 244, 249 (9th 8 Cir. 1989). In this case, the petitioner is challenging a conviction from Los Angeles County, 9 which is in the Central District of California. See 28 U.S.C. § 84. Therefore, the petition should 10 have been filed in the United States District Court for the Central District of California. In the interest of justice, the petition will be transferred to the United States District Court for the 11 12 Central District of California. 28 U.S.C. §§ 1404(a) and 2241(d).

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the United
States District Court for the Central District of California.

16 IT IS SO ORDERED.

15

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

17 Dated: November 2, 2010

Isl Michael J. Seng UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE