UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
VICTORY ILSUNG,	CASE No. 1:10-cv-02070-AWI-MJS (PC)
Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING WITHOUT PREJUDICE PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR ALTERNATIVE DISPUTE RESOLUTION
V.	(ECF No. 39)
ROBERT MOBERT,	
Defendants.	
Delendants.	1
	/
Plaintiff Victory Ilsung, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis,	
filed this civil rights action on November 8, 2010 pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (ECF	
No. 1.) The matter proceeds on retaliation and medical indifference claims against	
Defendant Mobert. (ECF No. 14.) Defendant filed an Answer on April 2, 2013. (ECF No.	
36.)	
Pending before the Court is P	aintiff's Motion to set this matter for Alternative
Dispute Resolution ("ADR"). Plaintiff suggests that this case is a good candidate for	
settlement, in part because his dialys	sis at times leaves him too exhausted to work on
the case. (ECF No. 39.) Defendant has not filed opposition and the time for doing so	
has passed. Local Rule 230(/). The Motion is ready for ruling.	
The Court's ADR process is a	vailable in cases such as this only if both parties
agree or the Court exercises authority to compel it. Local Rule 271(a)(2). Although	

28

Defendant's failure to oppose the Motion can be interpreted as agreement to ADR, Defendant has affirmatively advised the Court's litigation coordinator that Defendant does not believe settlement discussions would be productive at this time. Additionally, the Court notes that since discovery has not even begun, consideration of ADR may be premature.

The Court will deny the Motion at this time, but do so without prejudice to it being refiled if and as appropriate.

Accordingly, for the reasons stated, it is HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion that this matter be set for ADR (ECF No. 39) is DENIED without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED. Isl Michael J. Seng UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JI Dated: June 30, 2013 JUDGE