1		
2		
3	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE	
4	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
5		
6 7	JOSE TREJO, et al.,) 1:10-CV-2119 AWI JLT
7 8	Plaintiff,)
o 9	v.) ORDER REGARDING) NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT
10	CITY OF SHAFTER, et al.,))
11	Defendants.	(Doc. No. 30)
12		
13		
14	On February 8, 2012, Plaintiffs filed a notice of settlement, which indicated that the case	
15	has been settled in its entirety. See Doc. No.30; see also Local Rule 160. In light of the	
16	settlement, it is appropriate to vacate all current dates and deadlines, including the July 17, 2012	
17	trial date. Additionally, since one of the Plaintiffs is a minor, the settlement must be approved by	
18	this Court. See Local Rule 202. Plaintiff will be ordered to file the appropriate motion.	
19	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
20	1. All currently set dates and deadlines, including the July 17, 2012, trial date, are	
21	VACATED in light of Plaintiffs' notice of settlement;	
22	2. Plaintiff shall file a motion for approval of settlement, as soon as possible but no later	
23	than twenty-one (21) days after service of this order, with the Magistrate Judge, who will	
24	then issue a Findings and Recommendation. ¹	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
26	Dated: February 9, 2012	Askblin
27	C	HIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
28	<u></u>	

¹Plaintiffs state that they anticipate filing a dismissal in 45 days. Plaintiffs do not explain why 45 days is needed. For now, Plaintiffs will be ordered to follow the 21 day deadline of the local rules. <u>See</u> Local Rule 160. However, if additional time is necessary, Plaintiffs may file a motion for extension of time with the Magistrate Judge.