(HC) Sanders v. Hartley		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9	LEVI SANDERS,	1:10-cv-02125-LJO-SMS (HC)
10	Petitioner,	ORDER VACATING ORDER DIRECTING RESPONSE TO PETITION ISSUED
11	v.	DECEMBER 2, 2010
12	JAMES D. HARTLEY,	
13	Respondent.	
14	/	
15	Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with a petition for writ of habeas corpus	
16	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.	
17	Petitioner filed the instant petition for writ of habeas corpus on November 12, 2010.	
18	Petitioner challenges a California Board of Parole hearing finding him unsuitable for release.	
19	On December 2, 2010, this Court issued an Order directing Respondent to file a response	
20	to the petition.	
21	On January 24, 2011, the Supreme Court issued its opinion in Swarthout v. Cooke,	
22	U.S, S.Ct, 2011 WL 197627 (Jan. 24, 2011) (per curiam). This decision renders	
23	the claims presented in the petition noncognizable for purposes of federal habeas review.	
24	Accordingly, the December 2, 2010, Order to Respond is HEREBY VACATED, and	
25	briefing is suspended pending further order of the Court.	
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
27		/s/ Sandra M. Snyder
28	UN	/s/ Sandra M. Snyder NITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
		1

Doc. 15