1	Tanya E. Moore, SBN 206683 K. Randoloph Moore, SBN 106933 MOORE LAW FIRM, P.C.		
2			
3	332 North Second Street San Jose, California 95112		
4	Telephone (408) 298-2000 Facsimile (408) 298-6046		
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
6	Ronald Moore		
7			
8			
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11	RONALD MOORE,	No. 1:10-CV-2198-SKO	
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION FOR DISMISSAL OF	
13	VS.	ACTION; ORDER	
14	JOSE MENDOZA; MARTHA MENDOZA))	
15	dba LA ESTRELLA MARKET #2; HENRY GEE and DAISY GEE,))	
16	Defendants.))	
17	Defendants.))	
18)	
19	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by and between Plaintiff Ronald Moore and Defendants		
20	Jose Mendoza, Martha Mendoza dba La Estrella Market #2, Henry Gee and Daisy Gee, the		
21	parties to this action, by and through their respective counsel, that pursuant to Federal Rule of		
22	Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii), the above-captioned action be dismissed with prejudice in its		
23	entirety.		
24	Date: September 30, 2011	MOORE LAW FIRM, P.C.	
25			
26		/s/Tanya E. Moore	
27		Tanya E. Moore Attorneys for Plaintiff Ronald Moore	
28		1.2001.2001.0000	
	Moore v. Mendoza, et al.		
	Stipulation for Dismissal; Order Page 1		

Moore v. Mendoza, et al.

Doc. 33

1	Date: September 30, 2011	MICHAEL WELCH + ASSOCIATES
2		
3 4 5		/s/ Michael D. Welch Michael D. Welch, Attorney for Defendants Jose Mendoza, Martha Mendoza dba La Estrella Market #2;
6		Henry Gee and Daisy Gee
7	<u>ORDER</u>	
8		
9	The parties having so stipulated,	
10	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action	be dismissed with prejudice in its entirety.
11		
12	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
13	Dated: September 30, 2011	/s/ Sheila K. Oberto
14	U	INITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26 27		
$\begin{bmatrix} 27 \\ 28 \end{bmatrix}$		
20		

Moore v. Mendoza, et al. Stipulation for Dismissal; Order