1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	HILDA SOLI	S,	Case No.: 1:10-cv-02283 AWI JLT
12		Plaintiff,	ORDER AFTER NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT
13	vs.		
14	TIMOTHY JO	OHN HARDT, et al.,	
15		Defendants.	
16			
17			
18	On September 14, 2012, the parties notified the Court that they had reached a settlement		
19	of the matter. They indicated that they would file a proposed consent decree on the same date but		
20	have not done so.		
21	Pursuant to this Court's Local Rule 160, this Court ORDERS :		
22	1.	No later than October 5, 2012, the	e parties SHALL file their proposed consent
23	decree;		
24	2.	All pending dates, motions, confe	erences and hearings, including the trial date, are
25	VACATED;		
26	///		
27	///		
28	///		
			1
	Į.		-

1	Failure to comply with this order may be grounds for the imposition of sanctions on		
2	counsel or the parties who contributed to violation of this order. See Local Rules 110, 160.		
3			
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
5	Dated: September 26, 2012 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston		
6	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
2627			
28			
20			