1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 HILDA SOLIS, Case No.: 1:10-cv-02283 AWI JLT Plaintiff, ORDER DISCHARGING ORDER TO SHOW 12 CAUSE (Doc. 62) 13 VS. ORDER DIRECTING THE CLERK OF THE COURT TO CLOSE THIS MATTER TIMOTHY JOHN HARDT, et al., 14 Defendants. 15 16 On May 5, 2014, the Court ordered the parties to show cause why the matter should not be 17 dismissed. (Doc. 61) This was based upon the fact that on October 31, 2012, the Court ordered into effect the consent decree entered into between Plaintiff and Timothy John Hardt. (Doc. 56) 18 19 Likewise, the OSC was based upon the June 28, 2013 order effectuating the amended partial consent decree between Plaintiff and Mark Dell Donne and Journey Electrical Technologies, Inc. 20 401(k) Plan (Doc. 58), and the satisfaction filed on June 28, 2013. (Doc. 59) 21 On May 16, 2014, Plaintiff filed a response to the OSC indicating that, though closing the 22 matter was appropriate, dismissing it could undermine the effectiveness of the consent decrees. 23 (Doc. 62) On May 19, 2014, Defendants Dell Donne and Journey Electrical Technologies, Inc. 24 401(k) Plan filed their response indicating they had no objection to the matter being resolved as 25 Plaintiff indicated. (Doc. 63) 26 Therefore, the Court **ORDERS**: 27 1. The order to show cause is **DISCHARGED**; 28

1	2. The Clerk of the Court is <b>DIRECTED</b> to close this matter as having been resolved
2	through the consent decrees. (Docs. 56, 57, 58)
3	
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.
5	Dated: May 19, 2014 /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	