

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 KEITH LAWTON WATKINS,

Case No. 1:10-cv-02290 JLT (PC)

12 Plaintiff,

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THIS
ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED
FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE

13 vs.

14 B. MURRELLS, et al.,

15 Defendants.
16 _____/

17 Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and *in forma pauperis* with a civil rights action
18 pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. By order filed January 3, 2011, the Court directed Plaintiff to, within
19 thirty days, file a second amended complaint curing the deficiencies in his pleadings as identified
20 by the Court in its screening order. (Doc. 9.) The Court also warned Plaintiff that failure to comply
21 with the Court's order would result in the dismissal of the case. (*Id.*) The thirty day period has now
22 expired, and Plaintiff has failed to file a second amended complaint or otherwise respond to the
23 Court's January 3, 2011 screening order.

24 Accordingly, it is **HEREBY ORDERED** that within twenty-one (21) days from the date of
25 service of this order, Plaintiff shall show cause in writing why this action should not be dismissed
26 for his failure to prosecute. If Plaintiff wishes to proceed with this action, he must also file a second
27 amended complaint in accordance with the Court's January 3, 2011 screening order.

28 Plaintiff is firmly cautioned that failure to comply with this order will result in the dismissal

1 of this action.

2
3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4 Dated: February 14, 2011

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE