I

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
7	EASTERN DISTRIC	CT OF	CALIFORNIA
8			
9	GERALD L. HUNTER,)	1:10-cv-2297 OWW OWW SMS
10	Plaintiff,	j)	SCHEDULING CONFERENCE ORDER
11	ν.	ý	Discovery Cut-Off: 12/15/11
12	RADIOSHACK CORPORATION,))	Non-Dispositive Motion Filing Deadline: 1/6/12
13 14	Defendant.)	Non-Dispositive Motion Hearing Date: 2/10/12 9:00
15		,	Ctrm. 7
16			Dispositive Motion Filing Deadline: 2/6/12
17			Dispositive Motion Hearing Date: 3/12/12 10:00 Ctrm. 3
18 19			Settlement Conference Date: 1/10/12 10:30 Ctrm. 7
20			Pre-Trial Conference Date:
21			4/16/12 11:00 Ctrm. 3
22			Trial Date: 5/30/12 9:00 Ctrm. 3 (JT-5 days)
23			
24	I. Date of Scheduling Conference.		
25	June 8, 2011.		
26	II. Appearances Of Counsel.		
27	Richard L. Moser, Esq., appeared on behalf of Plaintiff.		
28	Law Offices of Walter W. Wh	elan	by Brian D. Whelan, Esq.,

1 appeared on behalf of Defendant.

2 III. Summary of Pleadings.

3

A. Plaintiff's Factual Contentions.

From on or about November 3, 2006 through June 9,
 2010, Plaintiff was employed as a sales clerk by RadioShack at
 the Oakhurst store.

7 2. Plaintiff was employed pursuant to an oral8 agreement.

9 3. Plaintiff performed satisfactorily all of the10 duties and conditions under the oral agreement.

4. Plaintiff was terminated on June 9, 2010, becauseof his age.

13 5. As a result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff14 sustained severe and serious injury to his person.

15 6. As a result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff16 suffered humiliation, embarrassment and mental anguish.

7. Because of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff will be
required to employ the services of physicians, nurses and other
health care professionals in the future.

20 8. Plaintiff has been unable to engage in his21 employment since his termination.

9. Plaintiff will be unable to perform his usual andcustomary employment for an indefinite period.

24 10. The conduct of Defendant RadioShack was without25 good, just or legitimate cause.

26 11. Plaintiff's termination was done with the intent27 to cause injury to Plaintiff.

28

12. In terminating Plaintiff, Defendant acted

1 maliciously, oppressively and despicably.

13. The employment agreement between Plaintiff and
RadioShack contained an implied covenant of good faith and fair
dealing obligating Defendant RadioShack to act in good faith and
fairly towards Plaintiff.

14. Defendant RadioShack breached the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing by causing Plaintiff's termination in bad faith and for reasons extraneous to the contract.

9 15. Plaintiff was terminated without good, just or10 legitimate cause.

11

6

7

8

16. Plaintiff was terminated because of his age.

12 17. Plaintiff was assured by Defendant through
13 Defendant's actions, statements and conduct that Plaintiff would
14 not be terminated arbitrarily.

15 18. Because of Defendant's conduct and statements,
16 Plaintiff was led to conclude that Defendant entered into an
17 implied contract with Plaintiff not to discharge Plaintiff unless
18 there was good cause to do so.

19. Plaintiff received regular raises and promotions20 as a result of his job performance.

20. During the time Plaintiff was employed by
Defendant, Plaintiff received no disciplinary action, including,
but not limited to, warnings against him.

24 21. Plaintiff has an implied contract with Defendant
25 that he would be employed by Defendant as long as his performance
26 was satisfactory and that Defendant would not cause him to be
27 discharged without good and just cause.

28

22. Plaintiff performed all of the duties and

conditions of his employment agreement. Defendant knew that
 Plaintiff had fulfilled all of the duties and conditions of the
 employment agreement with RadioShack.

4 23. Without misconduct on the part of Plaintiff, and
5 without good cause, Defendant breached the employment agreement
6 by engaging in conduct separate and apart from the performance of
7 obligations of the agreement and without good cause.

8 24. As a result of the Plaintiff not being able to
9 obtain comparable employment, following his termination, he has
10 sustained substantial lost wages and benefits.

25. Defendant's conduct in terminating Plaintiff
without good cause was intentional, extreme, outrageous and done
with the intent to cause emotional distress to Plaintiff.

14 26. Plaintiff has suffered severe emotional distress15 as a result of Defendant's conduct.

27. Plaintiff has suffered damages in a sum within the
jurisdiction of this Court, i.e., in excess of \$75,000.

18 28. Plaintiff continues to suffer substantial losses19 in income, earnings and benefits.

20 29. Plaintiff was denied the terms and conditions of 21 his employment because of his age in violation of Govt. Code 22 § 12940.

30. On or about June 9, 2010, Plaintiff's employment
was terminated because of his age.

25 31. Plaintiff is a member of the class of persons
26 protected from age discrimination in that he is over the age of
27 40.

28

32. Defendant RadioShack regularly employs five or

1 more persons.

33. Within one year from the date of the most recent
act of discrimination, Plaintiff filed a charge of age
discrimination with the California Department of Fair Employment
and Housing and the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.

34. On or about August 3, 2010, Plaintiff received a Right-To-Sue Notice from the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.

9 35. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's
10 age discrimination, Plaintiff has suffered severe and serious
11 injury to his person, all to his damage in a sum within the
12 jurisdiction of this Court.

36. In addition, as a result of Defendant's age
discrimination, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer
substantial losses in income, earnings and benefits.

37. In engaging in unlawful age discrimination,
Defendant RadioShack acted maliciously, despicably and
intentionally.

Β.

19

6

7

8

Plaintiff's Legal Contentions.

That Plaintiff was wrongfully terminated in
 violation of the public policy against age discrimination set
 forth in Govt. Code § 12940.

23 2. That, in terminating Plaintiff, Defendant breached24 the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing.

3. That, in terminating Plaintiff, Defendant breach
the implied covenant not to terminate Plaintiff except for good
cause.

28

4. That, in its treatment of Plaintiff, Defendant

1 engaged in intentional infliction of emotional distress.

5. That, in denying Plaintiff the terms and
conditions of his employment because of his age, Defendant
engaged in unlawful age discrimination in violation of Govt. Code
§ 12940.

6. That Defendant's conduct toward Plaintiff was
7 despicable, oppressive, malicious and intentional, warranting
8 punitive damages.

9

C. Defendant's Factual Contentions.

Plaintiff was terminated because of unsatisfactory
 job performance.

12

2. Plaintiff was not terminated because of his age.

13 3. Plaintiff was not subjected to unlawful age14 discrimination at any time during his employment at RadioShack.

4. RadioShack did not breach the covenant of good
faith and fair dealing or any other contractual covenant in
terminating Plaintiff.

18 5. Defendant RadioShack did not engage in intentional
19 infliction of emotional distress in the way it treated Plaintiff
20 or in the way it terminated Plaintiff.

D. Defendant's Legal Contentions.

4.

1. That Defendant RadioShack did not engage inunlawful age discrimination.

24 2. That Defendant RadioShack did not wrongfully25 terminate Plaintiff's employment.

3. That RadioShack did not breach any implied or
express contractual covenants owed to Plaintiff.

28

21

That RadioShack did not engage in intentional

infliction of emotional distress towards Plaintiff. 1

That to the extent Plaintiff's claims are based on 2 5. 3 Defendant's conduct about which Plaintiff never complained and for which Plaintiff sat on his rights, the doctrine of laches 4 bars Plaintiff's recovery. 5

6. That, to the extent any of the conduct on the part 6 7 of RadioShack on which Plaintiff complains is based on conduct occurring beyond the applicable statute of limitations, Plaintiff's claims based on such conduct are barred.

7. In failing and refusing to perform adequately his 10 job duties, Plaintiff engaged in unclean hands and is, to that 11 extent, barred from any recovery. 12

That Plaintiff is and was at all times an at-will 13 8. 14 employee of RadioShack and that, therefore, his termination did not violate any of Plaintiff's contractual rights. 15

Orders Re Amendments To Pleadings. 16 IV.

The parties do not anticipate amending the pleadings at 17 1. this time. 18

19 V. Factual Summary.

8

9

Admitted Facts Which Are Deemed Proven Without Further 20 Α. 21 Proceedings.

22 RadioShack Corporation is a corporation 1. incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware. 23

24 2. From on or about November 3, 2006 through June 9, 25 2010, Plaintiff was employed as a sales clerk by RadioShack at the Oakhurst store. 26

27 3. Plaintiff is a member of the class of persons 28 protected from age discrimination in that he is over the age of

1 40. 2 Defendant RadioShack regularly employs five or 4. 3 more persons. Β. Contested Facts. 4 5 1. Plaintiff was employed pursuant to an oral 6 agreement. 7 2. Plaintiff performed satisfactorily all of the duties and conditions under the oral agreement. 8 9 3. Plaintiff was terminated on June 9, 2010, because of his age. 10 11 4. As a result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff sustained severe and serious injury to his person. 12 13 5. As a result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff suffered humiliation, embarrassment and mental anguish. 14 15 Because of defendant's conduct, Plaintiff will be 6. required to employ the services of physicians, nurses and other 16 health care professionals in the future. 17 7. Plaintiff has been unable to engage in his 18 19 employment since his termination. 20 8. Plaintiff will be unable to perform his usual and customary employment for an indefinite period. 21 22 9. The conduct of Defendant RadioShack was without good, just or legitimate cause. 23 24 10. Plaintiff's termination was done with the intent 25 to cause injury to Plaintiff. 26 In terminating Plaintiff, Defendant acted 11. 27 maliciously, oppressively and despicably. 28 12. The employment agreement between Plaintiff and 8

RadioShack contained an implied covenant of good faith and fair 1 2 dealing obligating Defendant RadioShack to act in good faith and fairly towards Plaintiff. 3

13. Defendant RadioShack breached the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing by causing Plaintiff's termination in bad faith and for reasons extraneous to the contract.

7 Plaintiff was terminated without good, just or 14. legitimate cause.

9

8

4

5

6

15. Plaintiff was terminated because of his age.

Plaintiff was assured by Defendant through 10 16. defendant's actions, statements and conduct that Plaintiff would 11 not be terminated arbitrarily. 12

13 Because of Defendant's conduct and statements, 17. Plaintiff was led to conclude that Defendant entered into an 14 15 implied contract with Plaintiff not to discharge Plaintiff unless 16 there was good cause to do so.

17 Plaintiff received regular raises and promotions 18. 18 as a result of his job performance.

During the time Plaintiff was employed by 19 19. 20 Defendant, Plaintiff received no disciplinary action, including, but not limited to, warnings against him. 21

22 20. Plaintiff has an implied contract with Defendant 23 that he would be employed by Defendant as long as his performance 24 was satisfactory and that Defendant would not cause him to be 25 discharged without good and just cause.

26 21. Plaintiff performed all of the duties and 27 conditions of his employment agreement. Defendant knew that 28 Plaintiff had fulfilled all of the duties and conditions of the

1 employment agreement with RadioShack.

6

7

8

2 22. Without misconduct on the part of Plaintiff, and
3 without good cause, Defendant breached the employment agreement
4 by engaging in conduct separate and apart from the performance of
5 obligations of the agreement and without good cause.

23. As a result of the Plaintiff not being able to obtain comparable employment, following his termination, he has sustained substantial lost wages and benefits.

9 24. Defendant's conduct in terminating Plaintiff
10 without good cause was intentional, extreme, outrageous and done
11 with the intent to cause emotional distress to Plaintiff.

12 25. Plaintiff has suffered severe emotional distress13 as a result of Defendant's conduct.

14 26. Plaintiff has suffered damages in a sum within the15 jurisdiction of this Court, i.e., in excess of \$75,000.

16 27. Plaintiff continues to suffer substantial losses17 in income, earnings and benefits.

18 28. Plaintiff was denied the terms and conditions of
19 his employment because of his age in violation of Govt. Code
20 § 12940.

21 29. On or about June 9, 2010, Plaintiff's employment
22 was terminated because of his age.

30. Within one year from the date of the most recent
act of discrimination, Plaintiff filed a charge of age
discrimination with the California Department of Fair Employment
and Housing and the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission.

27 31. On or about August 3, 2010, Plaintiff received a
28 Right-To-Sue Notice from the Equal Employment Opportunity

1 Commission.

32. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's
age discrimination, Plaintiff has suffered severe and serious
injury to his person, all to his damage in a sum within the
jurisdiction of this Court.

33. In addition, as a result of Defendant's age discrimination, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer substantial losses in income, earnings and benefits.

9 34. In engaging in unlawful age discrimination,
10 Defendant Radioshack acted maliciously, despicably and
11 intentionally.

35. Plaintiff was terminated because of unsatisfactoryjob performance.

14

6

7

8

36. Plaintiff was not terminated because of his age.

15 37. Plaintiff was not subjected to unlawful age16 discrimination at any time during his employment at RadioShack.

38. RadioShack did not breach the covenant of good
faith and fair dealing or any other contractual covenant in
terminating Plaintiff.

39. Defendant RadioShack did not engage in intentional
infliction of emotional distress in the way it treated Plaintiff
or in the way it terminated Plaintiff.

23 VI. Legal Issues.

A. Uncontested.

25

24

26

1. Jurisdiction exists under 28 U.S.C. § 1332.

2. Venue is proper under 28 U.S.C. § 1392.

3. The parties agree that the substantive law of the
State of California provides the rule of decision in this case.

- 1
- B. Contested.

Whether Plaintiff's claims are barred because of
 an at-will agreement between the parties.

Whether or not the Fair Employment and Housing
Act, Govt. Code § 12940, et seq., was violated by Defendant's
conduct.

7 3. Whether Defendant engaged in actionable and
8 intentional infliction of emotional distress.

94. Whether the affirmative defenses of laches,10unclean hands or failure of consideration apply.

11 VII. Consent to Magistrate Judge Jurisdiction.

12 1. The parties have not consented to transfer the
 13 case to the Magistrate Judge for all purposes, including trial.
 14 VIII. Corporate Identification Statement.

15 1. Any nongovernmental corporate party to any action in 16 this court shall file a statement identifying all its parent 17 corporations and listing any entity that owns 10% or more of the 18 party's equity securities. A party shall file the statement with 19 its initial pleading filed in this court and shall supplement the 20 statement within a reasonable time of any change in the 21 information.

22 IX. Discovery Plan and Cut-Off Date.

Plaintiff plans to take the depositions of the managers
 and co-employees of Plaintiff at the RadioShack store in
 Oakhurst, as well as any other percipient witnesses identified by
 Defendant in response to discovery requests.

27 2. Defendant intends to take the depositions of Plaintiff28 and of other percipient witnesses identified by Plaintiff in

response to written discovery. Defendant plans to propound 1 comprehensive written discovery including interrogatories, 2 3 document requests and requests for admissions. Depending on Plaintiff's responses, Defendant RadioShack may seek an 4 independent psychological examination of the Plaintiff. 5

6 3. The parties are ordered to complete all non-expert discovery on or before November 30, 2011.

7

4. The parties are directed to disclose all expert 8 9 witnesses, in writing, on or before October 14, 2011. Any rebuttal or supplemental expert disclosures will be made on or 10 before November 15, 2011. The parties will comply with the 11 provisions of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(a)(2) regarding 12 13 their expert designations. Local Rule 16-240(a) notwithstanding, 14 the written designation of experts shall be made pursuant to F. 15 R. Civ. P. Rule 26(a)(2), (A) and (B) and shall include all information required thereunder. Failure to designate experts in 16 compliance with this order may result in the Court excluding the 17 testimony or other evidence offered through such experts that are 18 19 not disclosed pursuant to this order.

20 5. The parties are ordered to complete all expert 21 discovery on or before December 15, 2011.

22 The provisions of F. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(4) shall 6. 23 apply to all discovery relating to experts and their opinions. 24 Experts shall be fully prepared to be examined on all subjects 25 and opinions included in the designation and their reports, which 26 shall include every opinion to be rendered and all reasons for 27 each opinion. Failure to comply will result in the imposition of 28 sanctions.

1 X. Pre-Trial Motion Schedule.

All Non-Dispositive Pre-Trial Motions, including any
 discovery motions, shall be filed on or before January 6, 2012,
 and heard on February 10, 2012, at 9:00 a.m. before Magistrate
 Judge Sandra M. Snyder in Courtroom 7.

6 2. In scheduling such motions, the Magistrate
7 Judge may grant applications for an order shortening time
8 pursuant to Local Rule 142(d). However, if counsel does not
9 obtain an order shortening time, the notice of motion must comply
10 with Local Rule 251 and this schedule.

All Dispositive Pre-Trial Motions are to be
 filed no later than February 6, 2012, and will be heard on March
 12, 2012, at 10:00 a.m. before the Honorable Oliver W. Wanger, in
 Courtroom 3, 7th Floor. In scheduling such motions, counsel
 shall comply with Local Rule 230.

16 XI. Pre-Trial Conference Date.

17 1. April 16, 2012, at 11:00 a.m. in Courtroom 3, 7th
18 Floor, before the Honorable Oliver W. Wanger.

The parties are ordered to file a Joint Pre Trial Statement pursuant to Local Rule 281(a)(2).

3. Counsel's attention is directed to Rules 281
and 282 of the Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District
of California, as to the obligations of counsel in preparing for
the pre-trial conference. The Court insists upon strict
compliance with those rules.

26 XII. Motions - Hard Copy.

27 1. The parties shall submit one (1) courtesy paper copy to
28 the Court of any motions filed. Exhibits shall be marked with

protruding numbered or lettered tabs so that the Court can easily
identify such exhibits.

3 XIII. Trial Date.

4 1. May 30, 2012, at the hour of 9:00 a.m. in Courtroom 3,
5 7th Floor, before the Honorable Oliver W. Wanger, United States
6 District Judge.

2. This is a jury trial.

3. Counsels' Estimate Of Trial Time:

9

7

8

a. Four to five days.

4. Counsels' attention is directed to Local Rules
 of Practice for the Eastern District of California, Rule 285.
 XIV. Settlement Conference.

13 1. A Settlement Conference is scheduled for January 10,
 14 2012, at 10:30 a.m. in Courtroom 7 before the Honorable Sandra M.
 15 Snyder, United States Magistrate Judge.

16 2. Unless otherwise permitted in advance by the
17 Court, the attorneys who will try the case shall appear at the
18 Settlement Conference with the parties and the person or persons
19 having full authority to negotiate and settle the case on any
20 terms at the conference.

21 3. Permission for a party [not attorney] to attend by telephone may be granted upon request, by letter, with a copy 22 23 to the other parties, if the party [not attorney] lives and works outside the Eastern District of California, and attendance in 24 25 person would constitute a hardship. If telephone attendance is 26 allowed, the party must be immediately available throughout the 27 conference until excused regardless of time zone differences. 28 Any other special arrangements desired in cases where settlement

authority rests with a governing body, shall also be proposed in
 advance by letter copied to all other parties.

4. Confidential Settlement Conference Statement. 3 At least five (5) days prior to the Settlement Conference the 4 parties shall submit, directly to the Magistrate Judge's 5 chambers, a confidential settlement conference statement. The 6 statement should not be filed with the Clerk of the Court nor 7 served on any other party. Each statement shall be clearly 8 9 marked "confidential" with the date and time of the Settlement 10 Conference indicated prominently thereon. Counsel are urged to 11 request the return of their statements if settlement is not 12 achieved and if such a request is not made the Court will dispose 13 of the statement.

14 15 5.

Statement shall include the following:

16a. A brief statement of the facts of the17case.

b. A brief statement of the claims and
defenses, i.e., statutory or other grounds upon which the claims
are founded; a forthright evaluation of the parties' likelihood
of prevailing on the claims and defenses; and a description of
the major issues in dispute.

The Confidential Settlement Conference

c. A summary of the proceedings to date.

24d. An estimate of the cost and time to be25expended for further discovery, pre-trial and trial.

26

23

e. The relief sought.

27 f. The parties' position on settlement,
28 including present demands and offers and a history of past

1 settlement discussions, offers and demands.

2 XV. Request For Bifurcation, Appointment Of Special Master,
3 Or Other Techniques To Shorten Trial.

4 1. Neither party requests bifurcation. No punitive5 damages are sought.

6 XVI. Related Matters Pending.

7

1. There are no related matters.

8 XVII. Compliance With Federal Procedure.

9 1. The Court requires compliance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of Practice for the 10 Eastern District of California. To aid the court in the 11 12 efficient administration of this case, all counsel are directed to familiarize themselves with the Federal Rules of Civil 13 14 Procedure and the Local Rules of Practice of the Eastern District of California, and keep abreast of any amendments thereto. 15 XVIII. Effect Of This Order. 16

17 1. The foregoing order represents the best estimate of the court and counsel as to the agenda most suitable 18 19 to bring this case to resolution. The trial date reserved is 20 specifically reserved for this case. If the parties determine at 21 any time that the schedule outlined in this order cannot be met, counsel are ordered to notify the court immediately of that fact 22 23 so that adjustments may be made, either by stipulation or by 24 subsequent scheduling conference.

Stipulations extending the deadlines contained
 herein will not be considered unless they are accompanied by
 affidavits or declarations, and where appropriate attached
 exhibits, which establish good cause for granting the relief

1	requested.
2	3. Failure to comply with this order may result in
3	the imposition of sanctions.
4	
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	Dated:June 10, 2011/s/ Oliver W. WangerUNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	18