1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10	
11	JAMES ALLEN JORDAN, 1:10-cv-02319-SKO PC
12	Plaintiff, ORDER DISMISSING ACTION, WITHOUT PREJUDICE, FOR
13	vs. FAILURE TO PROSECUTE
14	NORTH KERN STATE PRISON,
15	Defendant.
16	/
17	Plaintiff James Allen Jordan, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights action
18	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on November 5, 2010. On March 23, 2011, the Court issued an order
19	reassigning this action to the undersigned, and on April 11, 2011, the order was returned by the
20	United States Postal Service as undeliverable.
21	Pursuant to Local Rule 183(b), a party appearing in propria persona is required to keep the
22	Court apprised of his or her current address at all times. Local Rule 183(b) provides, in pertinent
23	part:
24	If mail directed to a plaintiff <u>in propria</u> persona by the Clerk is returned by the U.S. Postal Service, and if such plaintiff fails to notify
25	the Court and opposing parties within sixty-three (63) days thereafter of a current address, the Court may dismiss the action without
26	prejudice for failure to prosecute.
27	In the instant case, more than sixty-three days have passed since Plaintiff's mail was returned, and
28	he has not notified the Court of a current address.

1	"In determining whether to dismiss an action for lack of prosecution, the district court is
2	required to consider several factors: '(1) the public's interest in expeditious resolution of litigation;
3	(2) the court's need to manage its docket; (3) the risk of prejudice to the defendants; (4) the public
4	policy favoring disposition of cases on their merits; and (5) the availability of less drastic
5	sanctions." Carey v. King, 856 F.2d 1439, 1440 (9th Cir. 1988) (quoting Henderson v. Duncan, 779
6	F.2d 1421, 1423 (9th Cir. 1986)). These factors guide a court in deciding what to do, and are not
7	conditions that must be met in order for a court to take action. In re Phenylpropanolamine (PPA)
8	Products Liability Litigation, 460 F.3d 1217, 1226 (9th Cir. 2006) (citation omitted).
9	In this instance, Local Rule 183(b) provides for the dismissal of an action based on returned
10	mail. Given the Court's inability to communicate with Plaintiff, dismissal is warranted and there
11	are no other reasonable alternatives available. See Carey, 856 F.2d at 1441.
12	Accordingly, this action is HEREBY DISMISSED, without prejudice, based on Plaintiff's
13	failure to prosecute.
14	
15	IT IS SO ORDERED.
16	Dated: July 6, 2011 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto   UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	

I