1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	ANTHONY CHAVARRIA,	1:10-cv-02324-LJO-GSA-PC	
12 13	Plaintiff, vs.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS (Doc. 31.)	
14	P. A. GREEN, et al.,	ORDER GRANTING IN PART AND DENYING IN PART DEFENDANTS' RULE	
15	Defendants.	12(b)(6) MOTION TO DISMISS (Doc. 24.)	
16 17		ORDER DISMISSING PLAINTIFF'S	
18		CLAIMS FOR DAMAGES AGAINST DEFENDANTS IN THEIR OFFICIAL CAPACITIES FROM THIS ACTION	
19		ORDER REQUIRING DEFENDANTS TO FILE ANSWER WITHIN THIRTY DAYS	
20 21			
22	Anthony Chayarria ("Plaintiff") is a stat	te prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights	
23	Anthony Chavarria ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate		
24	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.		

On February 11, 2015, findings and recommendations were entered, recommending that Defendants' motion to dismiss be granted in part and denied in part. (Doc. 31.) On March 13, 2015, Plaintiff filed objections to the findings and recommendations. (Doc. 32.) On the same date, Defendants also filed objections to the findings and recommendations. (Doc. 33.)

24

25

26

27

28

1	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 304, this	
2	Court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file,	
3	including the parties' objections, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be	
4	supported by the record and proper analysis.	
5	Accordingly, THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS that:	
6	1.	The Findings and Recommendations issued by the Magistrate Judge on February
7		11, 2015, are ADOPTED IN FULL;
8	2.	Defendants' motion to dismiss, filed on July 28, 2014, is GRANTED IN PART
9		and DENIED IN PART;
10	3.	Defendants' motion to dismiss Plaintiff's claims for damages against
11		Defendants in their official capacities is GRANTED;
12	4.	Defendants' motion to dismiss certain medical claims from Plaintiff's
13		Complaint, based on Plaintiff's abandonment of the claims, is DENIED;
14	5.	Defendants' motion to dismiss certain medical claims from the Complaint,
15		based on Plaintiff's failure to state a claim, is DENIED;
16	6.	Defendants' motion to dismiss Plaintiff's claim for injunctive relief is DENIED;
17	7.	Plaintiff's claims for damages against Defendants in their official capacities are
18		DISMISSED from this action;
19	8.	Defendants are required to file an Answer to the Complaint within thirty days of
20		the date of service of this order; and
21	9.	This case is referred back to the Magistrate Judge for further proceedings.
22	IT IS SO OR	DEDED
23		
24	Dated:	March 19, 2015/s/ Lawrence J. O'NeillUNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
25		
26		
27		
28		
		2
	1	