

1
2
3
4
5
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8

9 THOMAS D. BRALEY, CASE NO. 1:10-CV-02338-DLB PC
10 Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTIONS
11 v. FOR LEAVE TO PROCEED IN FORMA
PAUPERIS (DOCS. 5, 16)
12 CDCR, et al., ORDER DISMISSED ACTION WITHOUT
13 Defendants. PREJUDICE FOR FAILURE TO PAY
\$350.00 FILING FEE

14 _____ /
15

16 Plaintiff Thomas D. Braley (“Plaintiff”) is a prisoner in the custody of the California
17 Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (“CDCR”). Plaintiff is proceeding pro se in this
18 civil rights action. Plaintiff initiated this action by filing his complaint on September 20, 2010 in
19 the Northern District of California. Doc. 1. The action was transferred to this Court on
20 December 15, 2010. Plaintiff filed a motion to proceed in forma pauperis on October 22, 2010
21 and May 18, 2011. Docs. 5, 16. The Court had yet to adjudicate Plaintiff’s in forma pauperis
22 status in this action.

23 An examination of court records reveals that Plaintiff is ineligible to proceed in forma
24 pauperis in this action. Proceedings in forma pauperis are governed by 28 U.S.C. § 1915.
25 Section 1915(g) provides that “[i]n no event shall a prisoner bring a civil action . . . under this
26 section if the prisoner has, on 3 or more prior occasions, while incarcerated or detained in any
27 facility, brought an action or appeal in a court of the United States that was dismissed on the
28 grounds that it is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted,

1 unless the prisoner is under imminent danger of serious physical injury.” Plaintiff became
2 subject to § 1915(g) on March 12, 2009, and is precluded from proceeding in forma pauperis
3 unless he is, at the time the complaint is filed, under imminent danger of serious physical injury.
4 The Court takes judicial notice of the following cases qualifying as strikes: *Braley v. Bledsoe*,
5 Case No. 2:01-cv-03867-GAF-Mc (C.D. Cal.) (dismissed October 31, 2001 for failure to state a
6 claim); *Braley v. Rafael Mejia*, Case No. 2:03-cv-04583-UA-SH (C.D. Cal.) (dismissed July 16,
7 2003 as frivolous); *Braley v. Los Angeles County Jail, et al.*, Case No. 1:07-cv-01166-OWW-
8 GSA PC (E.D. Cal.) (dismissed March 12, 2009 for failure to state a claim).

9 A review of the complaint indicates that Plaintiff is not in imminent danger of serious
10 physical injury at the time of the filing of the complaint. Plaintiff complains of deliberate
11 indifference while being transported. This does not rise to the level of an imminent danger of
12 serious physical injury.

13 Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that

14 1. Plaintiff’s motions to proceed in forma pauperis, filed October 22, 2010 and May
15 18, 2011, are DENIED; and

16 2. This action is dismissed for failure to pay the filing fee, without prejudice to
17 refiling as a new action if accompanied with the full \$350.00 filing fee.

18 IT IS SO ORDERED.

19 **Dated: May 19, 2011**

20 /s/ Dennis L. Beck
21 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

22
23
24
25
26
27
28