1 2 3 4 5 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7 8 MARK DELL DONNE, et al., NO. 1:10-CV-02341 AWI JLT 9 Plaintiffs, ORDER DISMISSING DEFENDANT LISA PLANK v. 10 ONLY IN LIGHT OF HERBERT W. HARDT, et al., STIPULATION OF DISMISSAL 11 Defendants. 12 13 14 On February 1, 2011, the Plaintiffs filed a stipulation of dismissal of Defendant Lisa 15 Plank only, without prejudice, pursuant to Rule 41(a)(1)(ii). 16 Rule 41(a)(1), in relevant part, reads: 17 an action may be dismissed by the plaintiff without order of court (i) by filing a 18 notice of dismissal at any time before service by the adverse party of an answer or of a motion for summary judgment, whichever first occurs, or (ii) by filing a 19 stipulation of dismissal signed by all parties who have appeared in the action. Unless otherwise stated in the notice of dismissal or stipulation, the dismissal is without prejudice, except that a notice of dismissal operates as an adjudication 20 upon the merits when filed by a plaintiff who has once dismissed in any court of 21 the United States or of any state an action based on or including the same claim. Rule 41(a)(1)(ii) thus allows the parties to dismiss an action voluntarily after service of an 22 23 answer by filing a written stipulation to dismiss signed by all of the parties, although an oral 24 stipulation in open court will also suffice. Carter v. Beverly Hills Sav. & Loan Asso., 884 F.2d 25 1186, 1191 (9th Cir. 1989); Eitel v. McCool, 782 F.2d 1470, 1472-73 (9th Cir. 1986). Once the 26 stipulation between the parties who have appeared is properly filed or made in open court, no 27 order of the court is necessary to effectuate dismissal. Fed. R. Civ. Pro. 41(a)(1)(ii); Eitel, 782 28 F.2d at 1473 n.4. "Caselaw concerning stipulated dismissals under Rule 41(a) (1) (ii) is clear

1	that the entry of such a stipulation of dismissal is effective automatically and does not require
2	judicial approval." In re Wolf, 842 F.2d 464, 466 (D.C. Cir. 1989); Gardiner v. A.H. Robins Co.,
3	747 F.2d 1180, 1189 (8th Cir. 1984); see also Gambale v. Deutsche Bank AG, 377 F.3d 133, 139
4	(2d Cir. 2004); Commercial Space Mgmt. Co. v. Boeing Co., 193 F.3d 1074, 1077 (9th Cir.
5	1999) <u>cf.</u> <u>Wilson v. City of San Jose</u> , 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997) (addressing 41(a)(1)(i)).
6	"The plaintiff may dismiss some or all of the defendants, or some or all of his claims, through a
7	Rule 41(a)(1) notice," and the dismissal "automatically terminates the action as to the defendants
8	who are the subjects of the notice." Wilson, 111 F.3d at 692; Concha v. London, 62 F.3d 1493,
9	1506 (9th Cir. 1995).
10	Because plaintiffs have filed a voluntary dismissal under Rule 41(a)(1)(ii) as to only
11	Defendant Lisa Plank, this case has terminated as to this Defendant only. See Fed. R. Civ. Pro.
12	41(a)(1)(ii); <u>In re Wolf</u> , 842 F.2d at 466; <u>Gardiner</u> , 747 F.2d at 1189; <u>see also Gambale</u> , 377 F.3d
13	at 139; Commercial Space Mgmt, 193 F.3d at 1077; cf. Wilson, 111 F.3d at 692.
14	Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that LISA PLANK, is DISMISSED from this case
15	without prejudice in light of plaintiffs filed and properly signed Rule 41(a)(1) voluntary
16	dismissal.
17	
18	IT IS SO ORDERED.
19	Dated: February 3, 2011
20	CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	