

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

12 LONNIE WILLIAMS, 1:10-cv-02351-SKO (PC)
13 Plaintiff,
14 vs.
15 GREGORY G. HOLLOWES et al.,
16 Defendants
ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE
SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE EASTERN
DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

18 Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42
19 U.S.C. § 1983, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915.

20 In his complaint, plaintiff alleges violations of his civil rights by defendants. The alleged
21 violations took place in Represa City, which is part of the Sacramento Division of the United States
22 District Court for the Eastern District of California. Therefore, the complaint should have been filed
23 in the Sacramento Division.

24 Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper
25 court may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper court. Therefore, this action will
26 be transferred to the Sacramento Division. This court will not rule on plaintiff's request to proceed in
27 forma pauperis.

28 Good cause appearing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that

- 1 1. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of
- 2 California sitting in Sacramento; and
- 3 2. All future filings shall refer to the new Sacramento case number assigned and shall be
- 4 filed at:

United States District Court
Eastern District of California
501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200
Sacramento, CA 95814

8 || 3. This court has not ruled on plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 22, 2010

/s/ Sheila K. Oberto

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE